The text below is the original submission by James Blustein (in December of 2019) for a book edited by Frode Hegland entitled <u>The Future of Text Book : A</u> <u>2020 Vision</u> to be published in 2020. The text that will appear in the book is a revision of the text below.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

The Future of Text

I come to the question of the 'future of text' as a hypertext researcher with a particular interest in human factors. I take my inspiration from Doug Engelbart who, along with his many groundbreaking achievements, espoused a vision of augmented human intelligence. Although my research includes augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR), I am considering text as written words. My research focus has been mainly on discursive text in contrast to poetry and other literary forms. The texts that this essay is concerned with have words which are connected statements representing ideas, unlike *'word clouds'* for example.

Aware that this volume will be preserved in a static format I have striven not to employ many dynamic textual features (such as hyperlinks and stretchtext), although I do use HTML's summary/detail disclosure element as an example but only once.

Outlook

Text is important — it has a function and a value that are part of the ways that ideas are developed and transmitted. In the present, technology supports search, breadcrumb trails for navigation, visual representations of structure etc. I imagine that the future of text is text that is presented by computational machinery. I do not suggest that the computing machinery will be recognizable to us, nor will it be 'under glass' as Nelson has described uneditable text such as much of the WWW. A future of text augmented by computational machinery means that the possibilities that are attendant in text will be manifest and magnified by the application of additional technology. In my optimistic view of the future one of the benefits or features of computational machinery is to make possible and manifest the connexions between and amongst ideas and to map new pathways to formalize them to connect them, to map new pathways, to inject oneself as an author, to circulate those ideas and connexions because with text available through a distributed open network (e.g. the WWW) every person has the (theoretical) potential to be an author, a reader and a publisher. Although all of this has been possible since written text, the widespread adoption of computing machinery (particularly networks), expands the scholarly potential.

My response to the question of what is the 'future of text' has been influenced by many sources. At this draft stage I do not intend to list most of them. I am keenly aware of being influenced by Markson, in particular the plot-less novel *Vanishing Point* (2004), and many colleagues in the communities of hypertext authors and researchers.

Perspectives

I am particularly interested in text as palimpsest. As Blustein et al. (2011) wrote, 'Notions of permanence attached to the written word are thought of as fetish; palimpsests (literally the residuum of erased text on parchment, metaphorically textual edits thought of as obscured in a final draft) are now marked by digital traces and tags. Accordingly the ways that readers can mark their unique engagement and strategies ... are changing.'

I consider the future of text in two frames: as a **reader** (or person who experiences) text, and as an author of text. We must recognize that these categories are fluid and often overlap. In the simple case, overlap will occur as readers alter original texts (by annotation understood broadly) and thus become authors. More deeply, the techniques and tools with traditional and designed uses are often subverted or appropriated. In print, Nabokov's (1962) *Pale Fire* is a familiar example of a text that appears to be of only one type but is ostensibly of another. Larsen used the path name feature of the Storyspace hypertext publishing software as a space for poetry in *Samplers: Nine Vicious Little Hypertexts* (2001). The path name feature was originally intended for documentation and reader guidance; in Larsen's text, each path name entry is a line in a poem that the reader can see when they look at the list.

I am consciously not addressing the rôle of publisher in part because, here too, the question of territory is complex.

Spatial hypertext

Although I currently favour spatial hypertext for activities that are done individually, e.g. writing, brainstorming, and information triage, my focus in this Chapter is on more conventional forms of text.

As an author/creator

I imagine that in the future authors will use a framework to describe how their text will be presented. That framework would contain not only the 'words' of the text but also suggestions for the layout (in space *and* time) of the text. Texts could be presented in forms resembling <u>op_art</u> for example. All of the techniques available to readers (see below) could, of course, be incorporated into an author's writing.

As a reader/consumer

I would like to see a future in which readers can freely annotate texts to make the texts their own without concern that the original text or their changes would ever be lost. For text not to be lost it must be recognised when it is found.

'The' 7 Issues

Of Halasz's (1988) 7 *Issues* for hypertext the most pertinent for this Chapter's vision are: tailorability and extension, versioning and transclusion and collaboration support. Obviously versioning is particularly important when texts can be changed. The

activities of updating and extending texts encompass readers adding their own notes and hyperlinks (internally- and externally-pointing) to enhance texts, and of authors correcting or expanding their texts. Transclusion is important in two ways: to support stretchtext (described below) and as the best way to support users creating their own documents by combining parts of others (akin to Victorian commonplace books).

Notebooks

Blustein & Noor (2004) discuss glossaries as both ancillary materials to author's texts and as stand-alone records of readers' notes. Those author's classify glossaries in four dimensions, two of which are relevant to the future of text are: *flexibility of location* — in a single document or potentially available in many documents; and *user* — for use only by one reader or to be shared by multiple people, even if only one of them can alter the content. That vision of glossaries will be stronger with transclusion to support the integration of snippets (i.e. segments of text that 'copied' from other texts, not to be confused with 'lexia' which are units of reading established by authors).

Such glossaries are works composed by readers using, and augmenting, text written by others. My vision of the future of text firmly includes such hypertextual works.

Links and advanced organizers

A problem with most of today's (2019's) HTML-based online texts is that they necessarily use WWW browsers' default link-following behaviour which can be 'attention ripping': when links are followed the entire visual context of the head (outgoing part) of the link is removed and replaced. This behaviour makes it difficult for readers as it reduces the coherence of what Kintsch and van Dijk call 'the surface' (or most basic level) of the text. Ted Nelson has suggested using document browsers that can display a universe of documents at once by allowing users to zoom in an out and pan to see the text and overlap documents in myriad ways. Concerns about overwhelming readers' attentions in such interfaces makes me seek better solutions. Stretchtext (introduced by Nelson (1990); demonstrated by Fagerjord (2005) inter alia) and other types of fluid links (as invented by Zelwegger et al., 1998) are ways I imagine these problems will be alleviated in the future.

Stretchfilm (Fagerjord 2005) is surface text that expands to include more surface text, similar to how today's (2019's) summary/detail operates in HTML: the summary is always shown and the detail is shown only when activated, e.g. by being clicked like a link. Zelwegger et al. (1998) demonstrated multiple types of fluid text but their intention was the same with them all: to provide the reader with an 'advance organizer'^{*} to provide information about what will be found at the destination of the link. All of the fluid links in the 1998 and 1999 articles act as ways to inform the reader of what is at the destination of the link (or in some cases to provide dynamic content in place of a traversal link). One class of fluid link acts like stretchfilm, another uses the margin of the display to show the additional information.

*I am indebted to Ruud van Meer for introducing me to the concept of 'advance organizer'.

Adaptable text presentation

Some studies indicated that reader's success with hypertext is related to the constellation of psychological measures known as 'spatial ability'. Allen (1998) and Juvina (2006) have written extensively about this relationship and its implications. Using spatial ability as an instance, I suggest that future text will have myriad forms of presentation that will be either automatically generated or under the control of the reader. I imagine that the presentation will be personalized so that readers who want or require certain presentation styles, e.g. a presentation most suited to their spatial ability, personality, disability, or level of fluency can be accommodated automatically by the technology with which they receive the text (today that would likely be an e-reader device, Web browser software or bound paper book or magazine).

Conclusion

Gobel &Bechhofer (2007) identified Wikipedia as a part of the WWW in which all of Halasz's (1988) 7 *Issues* had been successfully addressed. Wikipedia (<u>n.d.</u>) is an interesting example of a 'place' where the rôles of reader, author and publisher blend, and where ideas are connected. At once Wikipedia is about spreading knowledge and an example of social computing (according to Schuler's (1994) definition). The volunteers (and small number of paid staff) at Wikipedia do not use the platform for its potential to map ideas or to create new knowledge as a community working together.

Why are we not yet in the future described above? We need a cri de couer. Primarily because of a lack of coherent vision by those with resources to bring together the many disparate projects that have striven to make that future (Bouvin, 2019). For the technology to be harnessed to do what I described earlier, <u>viz.</u>, to 'make possible and manifest the connexions between and amongst ideas and to map new pathways to formalize them to connect them, to map new pathways, to inject oneself as an author, to circulate them' the value must be perceived and, regrettably, not without being available for financial gain. Better types of text cannot weaken understanding or degrade scholarship; they will most likely lead to greater opportunities for all: writers, readers and publishers. As the size of the knowledge network increases its value (profitability) will increase many times over according to Metcalfe's Law (Gilder, 1993), Beckstrom's Law and Reed's Law (Hogg, 2013). Scholars should strive to convince large publishers, governments and others with substantial resources, to support the vision of a future of text that will augment human potential that will match the aspirations of Engelbart.

Bibliography

Bryce Allen. 1998.

Information space representation in interactive systems: relationship to spatial abilities. In *Proceedings of the third ACM conference on Digital libraries (DL '98)*, Ian Witten, Rob Akscyn, and Frank M. Shipman, III (Eds.). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 17–10. DOI:<u>10.1145/276675.276676</u>.

Mark Bernstein. 1998.

Patterns of hypertext. In *Proceedings of the ninth ACM conference on Hypertext and hypermedia: links, objects, time and space — structure in hypermedia systems: links, objects, time and space — structure in hypermedia systems (HYPERTEXT '98)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 21–29. DOI:<u>10.1145/276627.276630</u>.

Contains an image showing the use of link list for a purpose unintended by the creators of the (Storyspace) software. See Larsen (2001).

Niels Olof Bouvin. 2019.

From NoteCards to Notebooks: There and Back Again. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM Conference on Hypertext and Social Media (HT '19)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 19–28. DOI:10.1145/3342220.3343666.

James Blustein and Mona Noor. 2004.

James Blustein and Mona Noor. 2004. Personal glossaries on the WWW: an exploratory study. In *Proceedings of the 2004 ACM symposium on Document engineering (DocEng '04)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA. <u>https://hdl.handle.net/10222/73928</u>.

James Blustein, David Rowe, and Ann-Barbara Graff. 2011.

Making Sense in the Margins: A Field Study of Annotation. In Stefan Gradmann, Francesca Borri, Carlo Meghini, and Heiko Schuldt (eds.) *Research and Advanced Technology for Digital Libraries. TPDL 2011.* Lecture Notes in Computer Science, v. 6966. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. DOI:<u>10.1007/978-3-642-24469-8_27</u>.

Anders Fagerjord. 2005.

Editing Stretchfilm. In *Proceedings of the sixteenth ACM conference on Hypertext and hypermedia* (*HYPERTEXT '05*). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 301–. DOI:<u>10.1145/1083356.1089507</u>.

George Gilder. 1993.

'GEORGE GILDER'S TELECOSM "Metcalfe's Law and Legacy". September 1993. *Forbes*, 158–66.

Carole A. Goble and Sean Bechhofer. 2007.

The return of the Prodigal Web. In *Proceedings of the eighteenth conference on Hypertext and hypermedia* (*HT* '07). ACM, New York, NY, USA DOI:<u>10.1145/1286240.1286242</u>. In part claims that Wikipedia has 'solved' Halasz's 7 Issues. See Halasz (1988).

Frank G. Halasz. 1988.

Reflections on NoteCards: seven issues for the next generation of hypermedia systems. *Communications of the ACM* 31, 7 (July 1988), 836–852. DOI:<u>10.1145/48511.48514</u>. Referred to in Halasz (2001) and Goble & Bechhofer (2007).

Frank G. Halasz. 2001.

Reflections on 'Seven Issues': Hypertext in the Era of The Web. *ACM J. Comput. Doc.* 25, 3 (August 2001), 109–114. DOI:<u>10.1145/507317.507328</u>. See Halasz (1988)

Scott Hogg. 2013.

Understand and Obey the Laws of Networking. *Networkworld*. Core Networking. October 2013. <u>https://www.networkworld.com/article/2225509/understand-and-obey-the-laws-of-networking.html</u>.

Ion Juvina. (2006.)

Development of cognitive model for navigating on the Web. PhD thesis. Utrecht University.

Walter Kintsch and Teun A. van Dijk. (1978).

Toward a Model of Text Comprehension and Production. *Psychological Review* 85 5 (September 1978), 363–394.

Deena Larsen. 2001.

Samplers: Nine Vicious Little Hypertexts. Eastgate Systems. ISBN 978-1884511301. An image from the hypertext is reproduced in Bernstein (1998).

Further information available from:

Dene Grigar. 2018.

Essay on Deena Larsen's 'Samplers'. In *Rebooting Electronic Literature (volume 2)* <u>http://scalar.usc.edu/works/rebooting-electronic-literature-volume-2/essay-on-deena-larsens-</u> <u>samplers</u>.

Record in the Catalog for the Electronic Literature Lab. 2017.

Samplers: Nine Vicious Little Hypertexts. Record ELL-W-84-1. <u>http://dtc-wsuv.org/ell-catalog</u>/site2/elit.php?p=84.

Holly Slocum. 2019.

Title='Electronic Literature Directory Individual Work Samplers: Nine Vicious Little Hypertexts'. <u>http://directory.eliterature.org/individual-work/5051</u>.

David Markson. 2004.

Vanishing Point. Counterpoint. ISBN 978-1593760106.

Theodor Holm Nelson. 1982.

Literary Machines (90.1 edition: ISBN 0-89347-055-4). Introduced the terms 'hypertext', 'stretchtext', 'transclusion' etc.

Doug Schuler. 1994.

Social computing. Commun. ACM 37, 1 (January 1994), 28-29. DOI: 10.1145/175222.175223.

Wikimedia Foundation, Inc.. no date.

Wikipedia: The Free Encyclopedia. https://www.wikipedia.org/.

Polle T. Zellweger, Bay-Wei Chang, and Jock D. Mackinlay. 1998.

Fluid links for informed and incremental link transitions. In *Proceedings of the ninth ACM conference* on Hypertext and hypermedia: links, objects, time and space — structure in hypermedia systems: links, objects, time and space — structure in hypermedia systems (HYPERTEXT '98). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 50 57. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/276627.276633.

There is a <u>video demonstration that accompanied Zellweger et al. (1999) in Open Video Project</u> (an archive maintained by Interaction Design Laboratory at the School of Information and Library Science, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill).

Polle T. Zellweger, Bay Wei Chang, and Jock D. Mackinlay. 1999.

Fluid links for informed and incremental hypertext browsing. In *CHI '99 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '99)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 7–8. DOI:<u>https://doi.org/10.1145/632716.632722</u>.