Object-Orientation

CSCI 3136 Principles of Programming Languages

> Faculty of Computer Science Dalhousie University

> > Winter 2012

Reading: Chapter 9



Elements of object-oriented programming:

- Data items to be manipulated are *objects*.
- Objects are members of *classes*, that is, classes are types.
- Objects store data in *fields* and behaviour in *methods* specified by their classes.

Main characteristics of most object-oriented programming systems:

- *Encapsulation* by hiding internals of an object from the user of the object.
- Customization of behaviour through *inheritance*.
- Polymorphism through *dynamic method binding*.



It reduces conceptual load:

• It reduces the amount of detail the programmer must think about at the same time.

It provides *fault and change containment*:

- It limits the portion of a program that needs to be looked at when debugging.
- It limits the portion of a program that needs to be changed when changing the behaviour of an object without changing its interface.

It provides *independence of program components* and thus *facilitates code reuse*.



It reduces conceptual load:

• It reduces the amount of detail the programmer must think about at the same time.

It provides *fault and change containment*:

- It limits the portion of a program that needs to be looked at when debugging.
- It limits the portion of a program that needs to be changed when changing the behaviour of an object without changing its interface.

It provides *independence of program components* and thus *facilitates code reuse*.

Note: Most of these are consequences of encapsulation and thus apply also to programming using modules.



- SIMULA 67
- Smalltalk 72
- C++, 80s
- Modula-3, late 80s
- CLOS, 88
- Eiffel, 92
- Oberon, 90s (last version 95)
- Java, 95
- Ada 95



```
Header
class list node {
  list_node *prev, *next, *head;
public:
  int val;
  list_node();
  ~list_node();
  list_node *predecessor();
  list_node *successor();
  bool singleton();
  void insert_before(list_node *new_node);
  void remove();
};
void list_node::insert_before(list_node *new_node) {
                                                                   Implementation
  if (!new_node->singleton())
    throw new list_err("inserting more than a single node");
 prev->next
              = new_node;
 new_node->prev = prev;
 new_node->next = this;
 prev
                 = new_node;
 new_node->head_node = head_node;
}
```

```
Header
class list node {
  list_node *prev, *next, *head;

    Private fields

public:
  int val;
  list_node();
  ~list_node();
  list_node *predecessor();
  list_node *successor();
  bool singleton();
  void insert_before(list_node *new_node);
  void remove();
};
                                                                    Implementation
void list_node::insert_before(list_node *new_node) {
  if (!new_node->singleton())
    throw new list_err("inserting more than a single node");
  prev->next
                 = new_node;
  new_node->prev = prev;
  new_node->next = this;
  prev
                 = new_node;
  new_node->head_node = head_node;
}
```















Inheritance

Using inheritance we can define a new *derived* or *child class* based on an existing *parent class* or *superclass*.

The derived class

- Inherits all fields and methods of the superclass,
- Can define additional fields and methods, and
- Can override existing fields and methods.

Purpose: Extend or specialize the behaviour of the superclass.



Inheritance

Using inheritance we can define a new *derived* or *child class* based on an existing *parent class* or *superclass*.

The derived class

- Inherits all fields and methods of the superclass,
- Can define additional fields and methods, and
- Can override existing fields and methods.

Purpose: Extend or specialize the behaviour of the superclass.

This allows us to define a *class hierarchy*.

- If only single inheritance is allowed, the hierarchy is a tree.
- If multiple inheritance is allowed, the hierarchy is a lattice.



C++

```
class push_button : public widget { ... }
```

Java

```
public class push_button extends widget { ... }
```

Ada

type push_button is new widget with ...



C++

```
class push_button : public widget { ... }
```

Java

```
public class push_button extends widget { ... }
```

Ada

type push_button is new widget with ...

```
Bad example in the textbook (C++)
```

```
class queue : public list { ... }
```

Why is this a bad example?



Overriding Methods of a Base Class

To replace a method of a base class, redefine it in the derived class:

```
class widget {
    ...
    void paint();
    ...
};
class push_button : public widget {
    ...
    void paint();
    ...
};
```



Overriding Methods of a Base Class

To replace a method of a base class, redefine it in the derived class:

```
class widget {
    ...
    void paint();
    ...
};
class push_button : public widget {
    ...
    void paint();
    ...
};
```

Methods of the base class are still accessible in the derived class:

- Using scope resolution (::) in C++
- Using the super keyword in Java or Smalltalk
- Using explicit renaming in Eiffel

C++: widget::paint()
Java: super.paint()
C#: base.paint()
Smalltalk: super paint.
Objective C: [super paint]
Eiffel: class queue inherit list
 rename remove as old_remove



Using modules:

- Define an *opaque* module type, a type whose definition is not exported by the module.
- Export subroutines to manipulate objects of the type. The implementation of these subroutines is not visible to the module's user.

Using classes:

- *Public* methods are accessible to the class's user, *private* methods are not.
- Private methods are accessible to other objects of the same class.
- Effective use of inheritance requires more fine-grained control over visibility of methods than sufficient when using modules.



Three visibility levels:

- *Private* methods/fields are visible to members of objects of the same class and to friends.
- **Protected** methods/fields are visible to members of objects of the same class or derived classes and to friends.
- *Public* methods/fields are visible to the whole world.



Three visibility levels:

- *Private* methods/fields are visible to members of objects of the same class and to friends.
- **Protected** methods/fields are visible to members of objects of the same class or derived classes and to friends.
- **Public** methods/fields are visible to the whole world.

Friends:

• A class can declare other classes and functions to be its friends, thereby providing them with access to its private and protected members.

```
class X {
    int a;
    friend void f(int);
    friend class Y;
};
```



Derived classes can restrict (but not increase) the visibility of its base class's members in objects of the derived class.

```
class A : public B { ... }
```

• All methods have the same visibility in the derived class as in the base class.

```
class A : protected B { ... }
```

• Public and protected members of the base class become protected in the derived class. Private members remain private.

```
class A : private B { ... }
```

• All members of the base class become private in the derived class.



Altering Visibility of Individual Members

```
class A {
  public:
    void a();
    void b();
  private:
    void c();
};
class B : private A {
  public:
    using A::a();
    using A::c();
};
```



Altering Visibility of Individual Members

```
class A {
  public:
    void a();
    void b();
  private:
    void c();
};
class B : private A {
  public:
    using A::a();
    using A::c();
};
```

- a() is public in B.
- b() is private in B.
- The second using statement is illegal because it would increase the visibility of a private member of A.

Eiffel

• Derived classes can both restrict and increase the visibility of members of base classes.

Java

- Similar to C++, with the following exceptions.
- Base classes are always public.
- Protected members are visible in derived classes and in the same package.
- No notion of friends.

Python

• All class members are public.

Smalltalk, Objective C

- All methods are public.
- All fields are private.

Constructors

A *constructor* does not allocate the space for an object; it initializes ("constructs") the object in the allocated space.

Execution order of constructors:

- Constructor(s) of base class(es).
- Constructors of class members.
- Constructor of the class itself.

```
class A {
public:
  A() { cout << "A"; }
};
class B {
public:
  B() { cout << "B"; }
}
class C : A {
  B b;
public:
  C() { cout << "C"; }
};
int main() {
  C c;
}
```

This prints "ABC".



```
class A {
  . . .
public:
 A() \{ ... \}
                           // Constructor 1
 A(int x) { \ldots }
                            // Constructor 2
 void f(float x) \{ \dots \} // Method 1
 void f(int x) \{ \dots \} // Method 2
 void f(int x) const { ... } // Method 3
};
int main() {
   x; // Calls constructor 1
  Α
  const A y(5); // Calls constructor 2
 x.f(3.4); // Calls method 1
 x.f(3); // Calls method 2
 y.f(3); // Calls method 3
 y.f(4.5); // Error: non-const method applied to const object
}
```



```
class A {
  . . .
public:
  A() \{ ... \}
  void f(int x) { ... } // Method 1
  void f(int &x) { ... } // Method 2
};
int main() {
  Α
     х;
  int y = 3;
  x.f(y); // Error: cannot decide which method to call
}
```



Copy Constructors and Assignment

```
class A {
    int x;
public:
    A() : x(0) { cout << "C1"; }
    A(const A& a) : x(a.x) { cout << "C2"; }
    const A& operator =(const A& a) { x = a.x; cout << "A"; }
};</pre>
```

```
int main() {
    A u;    // Prints "C1"
    A v(u);  // Prints "C2"
    A w = u;  // Prints "C2"
    A x;    // Prints "C2"
    x = u;  // Prints "C1"
}
```



Copy Constructors and Assignment

```
class A {
 int x;
public:
 A() : x(0) { cout << "C1"; }
 A(const A& a) : x(a.x) { cout << "C2"; }
 const A& operator =(const A& a) { x = a.x; cout << "A"; }</pre>
};
int main() {
 A u; // Prints "C1"
 A v(u); // Prints "C2"
 A w = u; // Prints "C2"
 A x; // Prints "C1"
 x = u; // Prints "A"
}
```

A similar analysis applies to

```
class A {
    int x;
    public: VS public:
    A() : x(1) {}
    };

class A {
    int x;
    public:
    A() { x = 1; }
};
```



Static vs Dynamic Method Binding (1)

In languages with a reference model of variables or when using pointers in C++, we can use an object of a derived class where an object of the base class is expected.

Assume the derived class overrides a method of the base class.

When accessing an object of the derived class through a variable whose type is the base class, which method should we call?

```
class person {
public:
   void print_mailing_label();
};
```

```
class student : public person {
  public:
    void print_mailing_label();
};
```

```
class professor : public person {
  public:
    void print_mailing_label();
};
```

```
int main() {
   student s;
   professor p;
   person *x = &s, *y = &p;
```

}

```
// professor::print_mailing_label
p.print_mailing_label();
// student::print_mailing_label
s.print_mailing_label();
// ???
x->print_mailing_label();
y->print_mailing_label();
```



Static method binding:

- The method invoked is determined by the type of the variable through which the object is accessed.
- Languages with static method binding: Simula, C++, Ada 95

Dynamic method binding:

- The method invoked is determined by the type of the accessed object.
- Languages with dynamic method binding: Smalltalk, Modula 3, Java, Eiffel

Which is more efficient: static or dynamic method binding?

Which is more natural?



Static and Dynamic Method Binding in C++

Given C++'s focus on efficiency, its default is static method binding.

Dynamic method binding is available by declaring the method to be *virtual*.

```
class A {
public:
  void f();
  virtual void g();
};
class B : public A {
public:
  void f();
 void g();
};
int main() {
 B b;
  A *a = \&b;
  b.f(); // B::f
  b.g(); // B::g
  a->f(); // A::f
  a->g(); // B::g
}
```



Abstract Classes

An *abstract method* is a method that is required to be defined only in derived classes.

C++

```
class person {
    ...
    virtual void print_mailing_label() = 0;
    ...
};
```

Java

```
class person {
    ...
    abstract void print_mailing_label();
    ...
};
```

An *abstract* class has at least one abstract method and thus cannot be instantiated.

If all methods are abstract, then all the class does is define an interface.



Implementation of Virtual Methods





The *virtual method table* or *vtable* is an array of addresses of the virtual methods of the object.

Overhead: Two extra memory accesses.



Implementation of Single Inheritance

Record of derived class

- Append extra data members to the record of the base class.
- Provides trivial access to these members through pointers whose type is the base class.

```
class A {
    int a;
    double b;
    char c;
public:
    virtual void f();
    int g();
    virtual int h();
    double k();
};
```

```
class B : public A {
    int d;
public:
    void f();
    virtual double l();
    virtual double *m();
};
```

Vtable of derived class

UNIVERSITY

- Copy vtable of base class.
- Replace entries of overridden virtual methods.
- Append entries of virtual methods declared in derived class.



Inheritance and Type Checks

// entries of an object of class B

y = x; // error, but q actually does point to an instance of B



class A $\{\ldots\}$; class B : public A { ... }; A a; Bb; A *x; B *y; x = &b; // ok; references through q will use prefixes of b's // data space and vtable y = &a; // static semantic error; a lacks the additional data and vtable // entries of an object of class B y = x; // error, but q actually does point to an instance of B

Is there a way to resolve the second error? It is not actually an error, but as it is, the compiler cannot tell.



C++

- y = dynamic_cast<B*>(x);
- Permits the assignment if x points to an object of class B or a derived class. Returns a null pointer otherwise.



C++

- y = dynamic_cast<B*>(x);
- Permits the assignment if x points to an object of class B or a derived class. Returns a null pointer otherwise.

Java

• Same semantics but with C-style cast syntax:

y = (B) x;



C++

- y = dynamic_cast<B*>(x);
- Permits the assignment if x points to an object of class B or a derived class. Returns a null pointer otherwise.

Java

• Same semantics but with C-style cast syntax:

y = (B) x;

Implementation: Include in each vtable the address of a run-time type descriptor.



C++

- y = dynamic_cast<B*>(x);
- Permits the assignment if x points to an object of class B or a derived class. Returns a null pointer otherwise.

Java

• Same semantics but with C-style cast syntax:

y = (B) x;

Implementation: Include in each vtable the address of a run-time type descriptor.

Note: C++ also supports C-style casts without type checks. This is more efficient but less safe.



dynamic_cast<T*> p

- Converts to type T* if the object pointed to by p is of class T or of a derived class. Returns a null pointer otherwise.
- Possible only for classes derived from polymorphic base classes and only when run-time type information (RTTI) is enabled.

static_cast<T*> p and reinterpret_cast<T*> p

- Perform conversions between unrelated types.
- static_cast performs some minimal type checking, while
 reinterpret_cast makes a bit-for-bit copy.

const_cast<T*> p

• Does not perform any type conversion other than removing the const-ness of a pointer.



Multiple inheritance allows a derived class to have multiple baseclasses:

```
class A : public B, public C { ... }
```

Implementation issues

- How to access objects of A through a baseclass pointer.
- How to allow overriding of methods of different base classes.

• . . .

Semantic issues

- If a method m is defined in more than one base class, which method is invoked by a.m(), where a is of class A?
- If B and C are derived classes of a common base class D, does A have two or only one copy of each data member of D?



