REGULAR LANGUAGES, FINITE AUTOMATA, AND LEXICAL ANALYSIS

PRINCIPLES OF PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

Norbert Zeh Winter 2018

Dalhousie University

PROGRAM TRANSLATION FLOW CHART



Goal

Transform input text into much more compact token stream (keywords, parentheses, operators, identifiers, ...).

LEXICAL ANALYSIS

Goal

Transform input text into much more compact token stream (keywords, parentheses, operators, identifiers, ...).



LEXICAL ANALYSIS

Goal

Transform input text into much more compact token stream (keywords, parentheses, operators, identifiers, ...).



kwClass identifier '{'
 identifier identifier ';'
 identifier identifier ';'
'}' ';'

LEXICAL ANALYSIS

Goal

Transform input text into much more compact token stream (keywords, parentheses, operators, identifiers, ...).



kwClass identifier '{'
 identifier identifier ';'
 identifier identifier ';'
 '}' ';'

Tools

- Regular expressions
- Finite automata: Very simple and efficient machines just powerful enough to carry out lexical analysis

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- $\cdot\,$ Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- $\cdot\,$ Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - + Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - \cdot Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

Base cases:

Base cases: ∅, are regular languages

Base cases: \emptyset , { ϵ }, are regular languages

Base cases: \emptyset , { ϵ }, and {a} are regular languages, where $a \in \Sigma$.

Base cases: \emptyset , { ϵ }, and {a} are regular languages, where $a \in \Sigma$.

Induction: If A and B are regular languages, then

Base cases: \emptyset , { ϵ }, and {a} are regular languages, where $a \in \Sigma$.

Induction: If A and B are regular languages, then

• $A \cup B$ is a regular language,

Base cases: \emptyset , { ϵ }, and {a} are regular languages, where $a \in \Sigma$.

Induction: If A and B are regular languages, then

- $A \cup B$ is a regular language,
- $AB = \{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ is a regular language, (*ab* is the concatenation of *a* and *b*)

Base cases: \emptyset , { ϵ }, and {a} are regular languages, where $a \in \Sigma$.

Induction: If A and B are regular languages, then

- $A \cup B$ is a regular language,
- $AB = \{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ is a regular language, (*ab* is the concatenation of *a* and *b*)
- $A^* = A^0 \cup A^1 \cup A^2 \cup \cdots$ is a regular language:

•
$$A^0 = \{ \varepsilon \}$$

• $A^i = \{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 \in A^{i-1}, \sigma_2 \in A\}$

Base cases: \emptyset , { ϵ }, and {a} are regular languages, where $a \in \Sigma$.

Induction: If A and B are regular languages, then

- $A \cup B$ is a regular language,
- $AB = \{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ is a regular language, (*ab* is the concatenation of *a* and *b*)

•
$$A^* = A^0 \cup A^1 \cup A^2 \cup \cdots$$
 is a regular language:

•
$$A^0 = \{ \epsilon \}$$

•
$$A^i = \{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 \in A^{i-1}, \sigma_2 \in A\}$$

This is the only way to produce infinite regular languages!

• {a, b, ab}

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!
- {0}*

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!
- {0}*
- {0,1}*

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!
- {0}*
- {0,1}*
- {a, b, c}*

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!
- {0}*
- {0,1}*
- {a, b, c}*
- $\{01^n0 \mid n \ge 0\}$

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!
- {0}*
- {0,1}*
- {a, b, c}*
- $\{01^n0 \mid n \ge 0\}$
- Set of all positive integers in decimal representation

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!
- {0}*
- {0,1}*
- {a, b, c}*
- $\{01^n0 \mid n \ge 0\}$
- $\cdot\,$ Set of all positive integers in decimal representation
- $\{0^m 1^n \mid m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!
- {0}*
- {0,1}*
- {a, b, c}*
- $\{01^n0 \mid n \ge 0\}$
- $\cdot\,$ Set of all positive integers in decimal representation
- $\{0^m 1^n \mid m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$
- $\{a^k b^m c^n \mid k \ge 0, m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!
- {0}*
- {0,1}*
- {a, b, c}*
- $\{01^n0 \mid n \ge 0\}$
- $\cdot\,$ Set of all positive integers in decimal representation
- $\{0^m 1^n \mid m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$
- $\{a^k b^m c^n \mid k \ge 0, m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$
- $\{(^n)^n \mid n \ge 0\}$

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!
- {0}*
- {0,1}*
- {a, b, c}*
- $\{01^n0 \mid n \ge 0\}$
- $\cdot\,$ Set of all positive integers in decimal representation
- $\{0^m 1^n \mid m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$
- $\{a^k b^m c^n \mid k \ge 0, m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$
- $\frac{(n)^n \mid n \ge 0}{(n)^n \mid n \ge 0}$

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!
- {0}*
- {0,1}*
- {a, b, c}*
- $\{01^n0 \mid n \ge 0\}$
- $\cdot\,$ Set of all positive integers in decimal representation
- $\{0^m 1^n \mid m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$
- $\{a^k b^m c^n \mid k \ge 0, m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$
- $\cdot \frac{(n)^n \mid n \ge 0}{(n)^n \mid n \ge 0}$
- $\{a^p \mid p \text{ is a prime number}\}$

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!
- {0}*
- {0,1}*
- {a, b, c}*
- $\{01^n0 \mid n \ge 0\}$
- $\cdot\,$ Set of all positive integers in decimal representation
- $\{0^m 1^n \mid m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$
- $\{a^k b^m c^n \mid k \ge 0, m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$
- $\cdot \ \frac{(n)^n \mid n \ge 0}{n \ge 0}$
- $\{a^p \mid p \text{ is a prime number}\}$

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!
- {0}*
- {0,1}*
- {a, b, c}*
- $\{01^n0 \mid n \ge 0\}$
- $\cdot\,$ Set of all positive integers in decimal representation
- $\{0^m 1^n \mid m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$
- $\{a^k b^m c^n \mid k \ge 0, m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$
- $\{(n)^n \mid n \ge 0\}$
- $\{a^p \mid p \text{ is a prime number}\}$
- All syntactically correct C programs

- $\{a, b, ab\}$
- Any finite language!
- {0}*
- {0,1}*
- {a, b, c}*
- $\{01^n0 \mid n \ge 0\}$
- $\cdot\,$ Set of all positive integers in decimal representation
- $\{0^m 1^n \mid m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$
- $\{a^k b^m c^n \mid k \ge 0, m \ge 0, n \ge 0\}$
- $\cdot \ \underline{\{\binom{n}{n} \mid n \ge 0\}}$
- $\{a^p \mid p \text{ is a prime number}\}$
- All syntactically correct C programs

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - \cdot Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - \cdot Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages
... are a notation for specifying regular languages.

... are a notation for specifying regular languages.

Definition: Regular expression

... are a notation for specifying regular languages.

Definition: Regular expression

Base cases: \emptyset , ϵ , and *a* are regular expressions, where $a \in \Sigma$.

... are a notation for specifying regular languages.

Definition: Regular expression

Base cases: \emptyset , ϵ , and *a* are regular expressions, where $a \in \Sigma$.

... are a notation for specifying regular languages.

Definition: Regular expression

Base cases: \emptyset , ϵ , and *a* are regular expressions, where $a \in \Sigma$.

Induction: If A and B are regular expressions, then

• A|B is a regular expression,

... are a notation for specifying regular languages.

Definition: Regular expression

Base cases: \emptyset , ϵ , and *a* are regular expressions, where $a \in \Sigma$.

- A|B is a regular expression,
- AB is a regular expression,

... are a notation for specifying regular languages.

Definition: Regular expression

Base cases: \emptyset , ϵ , and *a* are regular expressions, where $a \in \Sigma$.

- A|B is a regular expression,
- AB is a regular expression,
- (A) is a regular expression,

... are a notation for specifying regular languages.

Definition: Regular expression

Base cases: \emptyset , ϵ , and *a* are regular expressions, where $a \in \Sigma$.

- A|B is a regular expression,
- AB is a regular expression,
- (A) is a regular expression,
- A* is a regular expression.

... are a notation for specifying regular languages.

Definition: Regular expression

Base cases: \emptyset , ϵ , and *a* are regular expressions, where $a \in \Sigma$.

Induction: If A and B are regular expressions, then

- A|B is a regular expression,
- AB is a regular expression,
- (A) is a regular expression,
- A* is a regular expression.

Interpretation:

- Precedence: Kleene star (*), Concatenation, Union (|)
- Parentheses indicate grouping

$$R = \emptyset \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{L}(R) = \emptyset$$

$$R = \emptyset \qquad \implies \qquad \mathcal{L}(R) = \emptyset$$
$$R = \epsilon \qquad \implies \qquad \mathcal{L}(R) = \{\epsilon\}$$

$$R = \emptyset \qquad \implies \qquad \mathcal{L}(R) = \emptyset$$
$$R = \varepsilon \qquad \implies \qquad \mathcal{L}(R) = \{\varepsilon\}$$
$$R = a \qquad \implies \qquad \mathcal{L}(R) = \{a\}$$

$$R = \emptyset \qquad \implies \qquad \mathcal{L}(R) = \emptyset$$

$$R = \varepsilon \qquad \implies \qquad \mathcal{L}(R) = \{\varepsilon\}$$

$$R = a \qquad \implies \qquad \mathcal{L}(R) = \{a\}$$

$$R = A|B \qquad \implies \qquad \mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(A) \cup \mathcal{L}(B)$$

$R = \emptyset$	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \emptyset$
$R = \epsilon$	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \{ \epsilon \}$
<i>R</i> = a	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \{a\}$
R = A B	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(A) \cup \mathcal{L}(B)$
R = AB	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(A)\mathcal{L}(B)$

$R = \emptyset$	\implies	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \emptyset$
$R = \epsilon$	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \{\epsilon\}$
<i>R</i> = a	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \{a\}$
R = A B	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(A) \cup \mathcal{L}(B)$
R = AB	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(A)\mathcal{L}(B)$
R = (A)	\implies	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(A)$

$R = \emptyset$	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \emptyset$
$R = \epsilon$	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \{\epsilon\}$
<i>R</i> = a	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \{a\}$
R = A B	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(A) \cup \mathcal{L}(B)$
R = AB	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(A)\mathcal{L}(B)$
R = (A)	\Longrightarrow	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(A)$
R = A *	\implies	$\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(A)^*$

(0|1)*

(0|1)* all binary strings (0|1)*0 (0|1)* all binary strings(0|1)*0 all binary strings that end in 0

(0|1)* all binary strings(0|1)*0 all binary strings that end in 0(0|1)00*

(0|1)*0 all binary strings that end in 0

(0|1)00* all binary strings that start with 0 or 1, followed by one or more 0s

(0|1)*0 all binary strings that end in 0

(0|1)00* all binary strings that start with 0 or 1, followed by one or more 0s $0|1(0|1)\ast$

- (0|1)* all binary strings
- (0|1)*0 all binary strings that end in 0
- (0|1)00* all binary strings that start with 0 or 1, followed by one or more 0s
- 0|1(0|1)* all binary numbers without leading 0s

- (0|1)*0 all binary strings that end in 0
- (0|1)00* all binary strings that start with 0 or 1, followed by one or more 0s
- 0|1(0|1)* all binary numbers without leading 0s

What regular expression describes the set \mathcal{L} of binary strings that do not contain 101 as a substring?

- · 1001001110 $\in \mathcal{L}$
- $\cdot \hspace{0.1 cm} 000100 \textcolor{red}{\textbf{101}} 00 \notin \mathcal{L}$

- (0|1)*0 all binary strings that end in 0
- (0|1)00* all binary strings that start with 0 or 1, followed by one or more 0s
- 0|1(0|1)* all binary numbers without leading 0s

What regular expression describes the set \mathcal{L} of binary strings that do not contain 101 as a substring?

- · 1001001110 $\in \mathcal{L}$
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot} \hspace{0.1 cm} 000100 \textbf{101} 00 \notin \mathcal{L}$

 $(0|\epsilon)(1|000*)*(0|\epsilon)$

No ε or \emptyset :

No ϵ or \emptyset :

The empty string is represented as the empty string: a(b) instead of a(b|e) to express the language {a, ab}.

No ϵ or \emptyset :

- The empty string is represented as the empty string: a(b) instead of a(b|e) to express the language {a, ab}.
- The empty language is not very useful in practice.

No ϵ or \emptyset :

- The empty string is represented as the empty string: a(b|) instead of a(b|e) to express the language {a, ab}.
- The empty language is not very useful in practice.

Additional repetition constructs:

No ϵ or \emptyset :

- The empty string is represented as the empty string: a(b) instead of a(b|e) to express the language {a, ab}.
- The empty language is not very useful in practice.

Additional repetition constructs:

• R + = RR *: one or more repetitions of R

No ϵ or \emptyset :

- The empty string is represented as the empty string: a(b|) instead of a(b|e) to express the language {a, ab}.
- The empty language is not very useful in practice.

Additional repetition constructs:

- R + = RR*: one or more repetitions of R
- R? = (R|): zero or one repetition of R

No ϵ or \emptyset :

- The empty string is represented as the empty string: a(b|) instead of a(b|e) to express the language {a, ab}.
- The empty language is not very useful in practice.

Additional repetition constructs:

- R + = RR*: one or more repetitions of R
- R? = (R|): zero or one repetition of R
- R{n}, R{, n}, R{m, }, R{m, n}: n, up to n, at least m, between m and n repetitions of R

No ϵ or \emptyset :

- The empty string is represented as the empty string: a(b|) instead of a(b|e) to express the language {a, ab}.
- The empty language is not very useful in practice.

Additional repetition constructs:

- R + = RR*: one or more repetitions of R
- R? = (R|): zero or one repetition of R
- R{n}, R{, n}, R{m, }, R{m, n}: n, up to n, at least m, between m and n repetitions of R

Some capabilities beyond regular languages:

• Allow, for example, recognition of languages such as $\alpha\beta\alpha$, for $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^*$.

Character classes allow us to write tedious expressions such as a |b| \cdots |z more easily.
Examples:

• **"Recent" years:** 199(6|7|8|9)|20(0(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9)|1(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8))

- "Recent" years: 199(6|7|8|9)|20(0(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9)|1(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8))→ 199[6-9]|20(0[0-9]|1[0-8])
- Identifier in C: $(a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|_)(a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|0|1|\cdots|9|_)*$

- "Recent" years: 199(6|7|8|9)|20(0(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9)|1(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8))→ 199[6-9]|20(0[0-9]|1[0-8])
- · Identifier in C: $(a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|_)(a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|0|1|\cdots|9|_)*$ → $[a-zA-Z_][a-zA-Z0-9_]*$

- "Recent" years: 199(6|7|8|9)|20(0(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9)|1(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8))→ 199[6-9]|20(0[0-9]|1[0-8])
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \mbox{ Identifier in C: } (a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|_)(a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|0|1|\cdots|9|_) \ast \\ \\ & \rightarrow [a-zA-Z_][a-zA-Z0-9_] \ast \end{array}$
- Anything but a lowercase letter: [^a-z]

- · "Recent" years: 199(6|7|8|9)|20(0(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9)|1(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8))→ 199[6-9]|20(0[0-9]|1[0-8])
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \mbox{ Identifier in C: } (a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|_)(a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|0|1|\cdots|9|_) \ast \\ \\ & \longrightarrow [a-zA-Z_][a-zA-Z0-9_] \ast \end{array}$
- Anything but a lowercase letter: [^a-z]
- \cdot Any letter: .

- · "Recent" years: 199(6|7|8|9)|20(0(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9)|1(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8))→ 199[6-9]|20(0[0-9]|1[0-8])
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \mbox{ Identifier in C: } (a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|_)(a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|0|1|\cdots|9|_) \ast \\ \\ & \longrightarrow [a-zA-Z_][a-zA-Z0-9_] \ast \end{array}$
- Anything but a lowercase letter: [^a-z]
- \cdot Any letter: .
- Digit, non-digit: \d, \D

- · "Recent" years: 199(6|7|8|9)|20(0(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9)|1(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8)) → 199[6-9]|20(0[0-9]|1[0-8])
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \mbox{ Identifier in C: } (a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|_)(a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|0|1|\cdots|9|_) \ast \\ \\ & \longrightarrow [a-zA-Z_][a-zA-Z0-9_] \ast \end{array}$
- Anything but a lowercase letter: [^a-z]
- \cdot Any letter: .
- Digit, non-digit: \d, \D
- Whitespace, non-whitespace: \s, \S

- · "Recent" years: 199(6|7|8|9)|20(0(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9)|1(0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8))→ 199[6-9]|20(0[0-9]|1[0-8])
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \mbox{ Identifier in C: } (a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|_)(a|b|\cdots|z|A|B|\cdots|Z|0|1|\cdots|9|_) \ast \\ \\ & \longrightarrow [a-zA-Z_][a-zA-Z0-9_] \ast \end{array}$
- Anything but a lowercase letter: [^a-z]
- \cdot Any letter: .
- Digit, non-digit: \d, \D
- Whitespace, non-whitespace: \s, \S
- \cdot Word character, non-word character: W, W

• Special characters: '(' ')' '{' '}' '[' ']' ', '; ':'

- Special characters: '(' ')' '{' '}' '[' ']' ', '; ':'
- Mathematical operators: +|-|*|/|=|+=|*=|/='

- Special characters: '(' ')' '{' '}' '[' ']' ',' ;' ':'
- Mathematical operators: +|-|*|/|=|+=|*=|/='
- Keywords (C++): 'class|struct|union|if|else|for|while|...'

- Special characters: '(' ')' '{' '}' '[' ']' ',' ;' ':'
- Mathematical operators: +|-|*|/|=|+=|*=|/='
- Keywords (C++): 'class|struct|union|if|else|for|while|...'
- Integer: '[+-]?\d+'

- Special characters: '(' ')' '{' '}' '[' ']' ', ', '; ':'
- Mathematical operators: +|-|*|/|=|+=|*=|/='
- Keywords (C++): 'class|struct|union|if|else|for|while|...'
- Integer: '[+-]?\d+'
- Float: '[+-]?\d*\.?\d+([Ee][+-]?\d+)?'

- Special characters: '(' ')' '{' '}' '[' ']' ', '; ':'
- Mathematical operators: +|-|*|/|=|+=|+=|*=|/='
- Keywords (C++): 'class|struct|union|if|else|for|while|...'
- Integer: '[+-]?\d+'
- Float: '[+-]?\d*\.?\d+([Ee][+-]?\d+)?'
- Identifier (C++): '[a-zA-Z_][a-zA-Z0-9_]*'

- Special characters: '(' ')' '{' '}' '[' ']' ', '; ':'
- Mathematical operators: '+|-|*|/|=|+=|-=|*=|/='
- Keywords (C++): 'class|struct|union|if|else|for|while|...'
- Integer: '[+-]?\d+'
- Float: '[+-]?\d*\.?\d+([Ee][+-]?\d+)?'
- Identifier (C++): '[a-zA-Z_][a-zA-Z0-9_]*'

- Special characters: '(' ')' '{' '}' '[' ']' ', '; ':'
- Mathematical operators: '+|-|*|/|=|+=|-=|*=|/='
- Keywords (C++): 'class|struct|union|if|else|for|while|...'
- Integer: '[+-]?\d+'
- Float: '[+-]?\d*\.?\d+([Ee][+-]?\d+)?'
- Identifier (C++): '[a-zA-Z_][a-zA-Z0-9_]*'

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - \cdot Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - \cdot Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

Definition: Deterministic finite automaton (DFA)

A tuple $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$:

- $\cdot\,$ Set S of states
- \cdot Finite alphabet Σ
- + Transition function $\delta: \mathsf{S} \times \Sigma \to \mathsf{S}$
- Initial state $s_0 \in S$
- Set of final states $F \subseteq S$

Definition: Deterministic finite automaton (DFA) A tuple $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$:

- Set S of states
- \cdot Finite alphabet Σ
- + Transition function $\delta:S\times\Sigma\to S$
- $\cdot \text{ Initial state } s_0 \in S$
- Set of final states $F \subseteq S$

Tabular representation:



Definition: Deterministic finite automaton (DFA) A tuple $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$:

- Set S of states
- \cdot Finite alphabet Σ
- + Transition function $\delta: S \times \Sigma \to S$
- $\cdot \text{ Initial state } s_0 \in S$
- Set of final states $F \subseteq S$

Tabular representation:



S₁





Definition: Deterministic finite automaton (DFA) A tuple $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$:

- Set S of states
- \cdot Finite alphabet Σ
- + Transition function $\delta: S \times \Sigma \to S$
- $\cdot \text{ Initial state } s_0 \in S$
- Set of final states $F \subseteq S$

Tabular representation:



S₁





S₂

Definition: Deterministic finite automaton (DFA) A tuple $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$:

- Set S of states
- \cdot Finite alphabet Σ
- + Transition function $\delta: S \times \Sigma \to S$
- $\cdot \text{ Initial state } s_0 \in S$
- Set of final states $F \subseteq S$

Tabular representation:

δ	0	1
S ₁	S ₁	S ₂
$*S_2$	S ₂	S ₁



Definition: Deterministic finite automaton (DFA) A tuple $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$:

- Set S of states
- \cdot Finite alphabet Σ
- + Transition function $\delta:S\times\Sigma\to S$
- Initial state $s_0 \in S$
- Set of final states $F \subseteq S$

Tabular representation:



Definition: Deterministic finite automaton (DFA) A tuple $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$:

- Set S of states
- \cdot Finite alphabet Σ
- + Transition function $\delta: S \times \Sigma \to S$
- Initial state $s_0 \in S$
- Set of final states $F \subseteq S$

Tabular representation:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \delta & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \rightarrow S_1 & S_1 & S_2 \\ *S_2 & S_2 & S_1 \end{array}$$





Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition



Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition



Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition



Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition



Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition



Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition



Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition



Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition


Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition



Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition



Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition



() () 0	1	0	1	1	0
---	-----	-----	---	---	---	---	---

Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition





Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition





Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition





Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition





Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition





Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition





Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition





Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition





Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition





Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition







Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition

A DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ accepts a string $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$ if, after starting in state s_0 and reading σ , it finishes in an accepting state. Otherwise, it rejects σ .

Language decided by a DFA

 $\mathcal{L}(D) = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma^* \mid D \text{ accepts } \sigma \}$







Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition

A DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ accepts a string $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$ if, after starting in state s_0 and reading σ , it finishes in an accepting state. Otherwise, it rejects σ .

Language decided by a DFA

 $\mathcal{L}(D) = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma^* \mid D \text{ accepts } \sigma \}$







This DFA decides the language of all binary strings with ...

Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition

A DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ accepts a string $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$ if, after starting in state s_0 and reading σ , it finishes in an accepting state. Otherwise, it rejects σ .

Language decided by a DFA

 $\mathcal{L}(D) = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma^* \mid D \text{ accepts } \sigma \}$





1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0

This DFA decides the language of all binary strings with an odd number of 1s.

Acceptance/rejection of a string: Intuition

A DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ accepts a string $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$ if, after starting in state s_0 and reading σ , it finishes in an accepting state. Otherwise, it rejects σ .

Language decided by a DFA

 $\mathcal{L}(D) = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma^* \mid D \text{ accepts } \sigma \}$





This DFA decides the language of all binary strings with an odd number of 1s.

A transition function for strings

A transition function for strings

 $\delta^*:S\times\Sigma^*\to S$

A transition function for strings

 $\delta^*:S\times\Sigma^*\to S$

 $\delta^*(s,\sigma):$ the state reached after consuming σ if we start in state s.

A transition function for strings

 $\delta^*:S\times\Sigma^*\to S$

 $\delta^*(s,\sigma):$ the state reached after consuming σ if we start in state s.

· $\delta^*(s,\varepsilon) = s$

A transition function for strings

 $\delta^*:S\times\Sigma^*\to S$

 $\delta^*(s,\sigma):$ the state reached after consuming σ if we start in state s.

- $\cdot \ \delta^*(s,\varepsilon) = s$
- $\delta^*(s, x\sigma) = \delta^*(\delta(s, x), \sigma)$

A transition function for strings

 $\delta^*:S\times\Sigma^*\to S$

 $\delta^*(s,\sigma):$ the state reached after consuming σ if we start in state s.

- · $\delta^*(s,\varepsilon) = s$
- $\delta^*(s, x\sigma) = \delta^*(\delta(s, x), \sigma)$
 - $\delta^*(s, x_1x_2\ldots x_n) = \delta^*(\delta(s, x_1), x_2x_3\ldots x_n)$

A transition function for strings

 $\delta^*:S\times\Sigma^*\to S$

 $\delta^*(s,\sigma):$ the state reached after consuming σ if we start in state s.

· $\delta^*(s,\varepsilon) = s$

•
$$\delta^*(s, x\sigma) = \delta^*(\delta(s, x), \sigma)$$

$$\delta^*(s, x_1x_2 \dots x_n) = \delta^*(\delta(s, x_1), x_2x_3 \dots x_n)$$

Language decided by a DFA

For a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$,

 $\mathcal{L}(D) = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma^* \mid \delta^*(s_0, \sigma) \in F \}.$

* { $\sigma \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \sigma$ does not contain the substring 101}



EXAMPLES OF DFA



+ { $\sigma \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \sigma$ does not contain the substring 101}



+ { $\sigma \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \sigma$ does not contain the substring 101}



+ { $\sigma \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \sigma$ does not contain the substring 101}



Valid C comments (/*...*/)



* { $\sigma \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \sigma \text{ does not contain the substring 101}}$



ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - \cdot Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- \cdot Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - \cdot Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

For a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$, reading a string σ puts the DFA into a unique state $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma)$. That's why it's called "deterministic".

For a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$, reading a string σ puts the DFA into a unique state $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma)$. That's why it's called "deterministic".

A non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA) has the ability to choose between multiple states to transition to after reading a character and may even "spontaneously" transition to a new state without reading anything.
For a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$, reading a string σ puts the DFA into a unique state $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma)$. That's why it's called "deterministic".

A non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA) has the ability to choose between multiple states to transition to after reading a character and may even "spontaneously" transition to a new state without reading anything.

 $\Rightarrow \delta^*(s_0,\sigma)$ is potentially one of many states.

For a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$, reading a string σ puts the DFA into a unique state $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma)$. That's why it's called "deterministic".

A non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA) has the ability to choose between multiple states to transition to after reading a character and may even "spontaneously" transition to a new state without reading anything.

 $\Rightarrow \delta^*(s_0, \sigma) \text{ is potentially one of many states.}$ Formally, $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma)$ is a set of states. For a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$, reading a string σ puts the DFA into a unique state $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma)$. That's why it's called "deterministic".

A non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA) has the ability to choose between multiple states to transition to after reading a character and may even "spontaneously" transition to a new state without reading anything.

 $\Rightarrow \delta^*(s_0, \sigma) \text{ is potentially one of many states.}$ Formally, $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma)$ is a set of states.

An NFA $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ accepts σ if $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma) \cap F \neq \emptyset$. (*N* has the ability to reach an accepting state while reading σ , assuming it makes the right choices.)

Definition:

Non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA)

A tuple $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$:

- Set of states S
- \cdot Finite alphabet Σ
- Transition function $\delta:S\times(\Sigma\cup\{\varepsilon\})\to 2^S$
- $\cdot \text{ Initial state } s_0 \in S$
- Set of final states $F \subseteq S$

Definition:

Non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA)

A tuple $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$:

- Set of states S
- \cdot Finite alphabet Σ
- Transition function $\delta: S \times (\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \rightarrow 2^{S}$
- $\cdot \text{ Initial state } s_0 \in S$
- Set of final states $F \subseteq S$

Definition:

Non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA)

A tuple $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$:

- Set of states S
- \cdot Finite alphabet Σ
- Transition function $\delta: S \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \rightarrow 2^{S}$
- $\cdot \text{ Initial state } s_0 \in S$
- Set of final states $F \subseteq S$

start
$$\longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} 0,1 \\ 0 \\ s_1 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ s_2 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ s_3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

δ	0	1	е
$\rightarrow S_1$	$\{S_1, S_2\}$	$\{S_1\}$	Ø
S ₂	Ø	{S ₃ }	Ø
*S 3	Ø	Ø	Ø

Definition: Non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA) A tuple $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$: $\rightarrow S_1$ • Set of states S • Finite alphabet Σ • Transition function $\delta : S \times (\Sigma \cup {\epsilon}) \rightarrow 2^{S}$ • Initial state $s_0 \in S$ • Set of final states $F \subset S$





S₂

S₃

*S4

 $\{S_1\}\{S_1\}\{S_2\}$

Ø {S₄} Ø

 $\{S_3\}$ Ø

Ø



























0 0 1	0 1	0	0	1
-------	-----	---	---	---





















0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---







 $\mathcal{L}(N) = \mathcal{L}((0|1)*01)$

0 1 0

0,1 ϵ S_2 S4 0 \rightarrow (S₁)start (S_3)












































0 0 1	0	1	0	0	1
-------	---	---	---	---	---







































0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---







 $\mathcal{L}(N) = \mathcal{L}((0|1)*01)$

Language decided by a DFA

For a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$,

 $\mathcal{L}(D) = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma^* \mid \delta^*(s_0, \sigma) \in F \}.$

Language decided by a DFA

For a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$,

$$\mathcal{L}(D) = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma^* \mid \delta^*(s_0, \sigma) \in F \}.$$

What should this definition look like for an NFA?

Language decided by a DFA

For a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$,

 $\mathcal{L}(D) = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma^* \mid \delta^*(s_0, \sigma) \in F \}.$

What should this definition look like for an NFA?

• What is $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma)$?

• How should it be related to F for N to accept σ ?
Language decided by a DFA

For a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$,

 $\mathcal{L}(D) = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma^* \mid \delta^*(s_0, \sigma) \in F \}.$

What should this definition look like for an NFA?

• What is $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma)$?

The set of states reachable from s_0 by reading $\sigma.$

• How should it be related to F for N to accept σ ?

Language decided by an NFA

For an NFA $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$,

 $\mathcal{L}(N) = \{ \sigma \in \Sigma^* \mid \delta^*(s_0, \sigma) \cap F \neq \emptyset \}.$

What should this definition look like for an NFA?

• What is $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma)$?

The set of states reachable from s_0 by reading $\sigma.$

• How should it be related to F for N to accept σ ?

$\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{CLOSURE}$

Definition: ϵ -Closure

For some subset $S' \subseteq S$ of states, ECLOSE(S') is the set of all states that can be reached from states in S' using only ϵ -transitions.

Formally, ECLOSE(S') is the smallest superset $ECLOSE(S') \supseteq S'$ such that $\delta(s, \epsilon) \subseteq ECLOSE(S')$ for all $s \in ECLOSE(S')$.

$\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{CLOSURE}$

Definition: ϵ -Closure

For some subset $S' \subseteq S$ of states, ECLOSE(S') is the set of all states that can be reached from states in S' using only ϵ -transitions.

Formally, ECLOSE(S') is the smallest superset $ECLOSE(S') \supseteq S'$ such that $\delta(s, \epsilon) \subseteq ECLOSE(S')$ for all $s \in ECLOSE(S')$.



$\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{CLOSURE}$

Definition: ϵ -Closure

For some subset $S' \subseteq S$ of states, ECLOSE(S') is the set of all states that can be reached from states in S' using only ϵ -transitions.

Formally, ECLOSE(S') is the smallest superset $ECLOSE(S') \supseteq S'$ such that $\delta(s, \epsilon) \subseteq ECLOSE(S')$ for all $s \in ECLOSE(S')$.



A transition function for strings

 $\delta^*(s,\sigma)=$ the set of states reachable from s by reading $\sigma.$

A transition function for strings

 $\delta^*(s,\sigma)=$ the set of states reachable from s by reading $\sigma.$

•
$$\delta^*(s,\varepsilon) =$$

A transition function for strings

 $\delta^*(s,\sigma)=$ the set of states reachable from s by reading $\sigma.$

• $\delta^*(s,\varepsilon) = \text{ECLOSE}(\{s\})$

$$\delta^*(s,\epsilon)$$

A transition function for strings

 $\delta^*(s,\sigma)=$ the set of states reachable from s by reading $\sigma.$

- $\delta^*(s,\varepsilon) = ECLOSE(\{s\})$
- · $\delta^*(s, x\sigma) =$



A transition function for strings

 $\delta^*(s,\sigma)=$ the set of states reachable from s by reading $\sigma.$

- $\delta^*(s, \varepsilon) = ECLOSE(\{s\})$
- $\delta^*(s, x\sigma) = \bigcup_{s_1 \in ECLOSE(\{s\})}$



A transition function for strings

 $\delta^*(s,\sigma) = \text{the set of states reachable from s by reading }\sigma.$

- $\delta^*(s, \varepsilon) = ECLOSE(\{s\})$
- · $\delta^*(s, x\sigma) = \bigcup_{s_1 \in ECLOSE(\{s\})} \bigcup_{s_2 \in \delta(s_1, x)}$



E

Х

A transition function for strings

 $\delta^*(s,\sigma) = \text{the set of states reachable from s by reading }\sigma.$

- $\delta^*(s, \varepsilon) = ECLOSE(\{s\})$
- $\delta^*(s, x\sigma) = \bigcup_{s_1 \in ECLOSE(\{s\})} \bigcup_{s_2 \in \delta(s_1, x)} \delta^*(s_2, \sigma)$



ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- \cdot Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - \cdot Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- $\cdot\,$ Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- \cdot Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - \cdot Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

NFA CAN BE MORE CONVENIENT THAN DFA (1)

• All binary strings that have 101 as a substring.



NFA CAN BE MORE CONVENIENT THAN DFA (1)

• All binary strings that have 101 as a substring.



NFA CAN BE MORE CONVENIENT THAN DFA (1)

• All binary strings that have 101 as a substring.



• All binary strings that do not have 101 as a substring.



NFA CAN BE MORE CONVENIENT THAN DFA (2)

A more compelling example: $\mathcal{L}(.*1..)$



NFA CAN BE MORE CONVENIENT THAN DFA (2)

A more compelling example: $\mathcal{L}(.*1..)$



NFA CAN BE MORE CONVENIENT THAN DFA (2)

A more compelling example: $\mathcal{L}(.*1..)$



When testing for the **presence** of patterns, NFA are more convenient than DFA. They only have to guess right where the pattern starts! This does not work for testing for their absence. When testing for the **presence** of patterns, NFA are more convenient than DFA. They only have to guess right where the pattern starts! This does not work for testing for their absence.

Testing for the presence of patterns is the common case in parsing programming languages (keywords, identifiers, ...).

When testing for the **presence** of patterns, NFA are more convenient than DFA. They only have to guess right where the pattern starts! This does not work for testing for their absence.

Testing for the presence of patterns is the common case in parsing programming languages (keywords, identifiers, ...).

But ... computers are not good at guessing!

When testing for the **presence** of patterns, NFA are more convenient than DFA. They only have to guess right where the pattern starts! This does not work for testing for their absence.

Testing for the presence of patterns is the common case in parsing programming languages (keywords, identifiers, ...).

But ... computers are not good at guessing! \rightarrow We need to construct DFA.

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- $\cdot\,$ Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- \cdot Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - \cdot Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- $\cdot\,$ Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- $\cdot\,$ Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - \cdot Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

No!

No!

Theorem

The following statements are equivalent:

- $\cdot \mathcal{L}$ is a regular language.
- $\cdot \, \, \mathcal{L}$ can be decided by a DFA.
- $\cdot \,\, \mathcal{L}$ can be decided by an NFA.

No!

Theorem

The following statements are equivalent:

- *L* is a regular language.
- $\cdot \, \, \mathcal{L}$ can be decided by a DFA.
- $\cdot \, \, \mathcal{L}$ can be decided by an NFA.



Proof outline:

- Given an NFA N, construct a DFA D with $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(N)$.
- Given a regular expression R, construct an NFA that decides $\mathcal{L}(R)$.
- Given an NFA N, construct a regular expression R with $\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(N)$.

No!

Theorem

The following statements are equivalent:

- *L* is a regular language.
- $\cdot \, \, \mathcal{L}$ can be decided by a DFA.
- $\cdot \, \, \mathcal{L}$ can be decided by an NFA.



Proof outline:

- Given an NFA N, construct a DFA D with $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(N)$.
- Given a regular expression R, construct an NFA that decides $\mathcal{L}(R)$.
- Given an NFA N, construct a regular expression R with $\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(N)$.

No!

Theorem

The following statements are equivalent:

- *L* is a regular language.
- $\cdot \, \, \mathcal{L}$ can be decided by a DFA.
- $\cdot \, \, \mathcal{L}$ can be decided by an NFA.



Proof outline:

- Given an NFA N, construct a DFA D with $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(N)$.
- Given a regular expression R, construct an NFA that decides $\mathcal{L}(R)$.
- Given an NFA N, construct a regular expression R with $\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(N)$.

Trivial construction: $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F) \rightarrow D = (2^S, \Sigma, \gamma, t_0, G)$

• $2^{S} = \{S' \mid S' \subseteq S\}$ (set of all subsets of S)

Trivial construction: $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F) \rightarrow D = (2^S, \Sigma, \gamma, t_0, G)$

• $2^{S} = \{S' \mid S' \subseteq S\}$ (set of all subsets of S)

Problem: $|2^{S}| = 2^{|S|}$

Trivial construction: $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F) \rightarrow D = (2^S, \Sigma, \gamma, t_0, G)$

• $2^{S} = \{S' \mid S' \subseteq S\}$ (set of all subsets of S)

Problem: $|2^{S}| = 2^{|S|}$

 \rightarrow Can we construct only the subset of states in 2^S that are reachable from t_0 ?
Trivial construction: $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F) \rightarrow D = (2^S, \Sigma, \gamma, t_0, G)$

Trivial construction: $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F) \rightarrow D = (2^S, \Sigma, \gamma, t_0, G)$

Initial state of DFA:

Trivial construction: $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F) \rightarrow D = (2^S, \Sigma, \gamma, t_0, G)$

Initial state of DFA: The states the NFA can reach without consuming any input.

Trivial construction: $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F) \rightarrow D = (2^S, \Sigma, \gamma, t_0, G)$

Initial state of DFA: The states the NFA can reach without consuming any input.

 $t_0 = \mathsf{ECLOSE}(\{s_0\})$

Trivial construction: $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F) \rightarrow D = (2^S, \Sigma, \gamma, t_0, G)$

Initial state of DFA: The states the NFA can reach without consuming any input. $t_0 = \text{ECLOSE}(\{s_0\})$

Accepting states of the DFA:

Trivial construction: $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F) \rightarrow D = (2^S, \Sigma, \gamma, t_0, G)$

Initial state of DFA: The states the NFA can reach without consuming any input. $t_0 = \mathsf{ECLOSE}(\{s_0\})$

Accepting states of the DFA: All subsets of S that include an accepting state.

Trivial construction: $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F) \rightarrow D = (2^S, \Sigma, \gamma, t_0, G)$

Initial state of DFA: The states the NFA can reach without consuming any input. $t_0 = \mathsf{ECLOSE}(\{s_0\})$

Accepting states of the DFA: All subsets of S that include an accepting state.

 $G = \{S' \subseteq S \mid S' \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$

Trivial construction: $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F) \rightarrow D = (2^S, \Sigma, \gamma, t_0, G)$

Trivial construction: $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F) \rightarrow D = (2^S, \Sigma, \gamma, t_0, G)$

We want $\gamma^*(t_0, \sigma) = \gamma^*(\mathsf{ECLOSE}(\{s_0\}), \sigma) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma).$

A transition function for sets of states of the NFA

 $\delta^*(S', \sigma)$ = the set of states reachable from any state in S' by reading σ .

A transition function for sets of states of the NFA

 $\delta^*(S',\sigma)=$ the set of states reachable from any state in S' by reading $\sigma.$

· $\delta^*(S', \varepsilon) =$



A transition function for sets of states of the NFA

 $\delta^*(S',\sigma)=$ the set of states reachable from any state in S' by reading $\sigma.$

• $\delta^*(S', \varepsilon) = ECLOSE(S')$



A transition function for sets of states of the NFA

 $\delta^*(S',\sigma)=$ the set of states reachable from any state in S' by reading $\sigma.$

- $\delta^*(S', \varepsilon) = \text{ECLOSE}(S')$
- $\delta^*(S', x\sigma) =$

$$S' \bigoplus_{\epsilon} \delta^*(S', \epsilon) \qquad S' \bigoplus_{\circ} \delta^*(S', \epsilon)$$

A transition function for sets of states of the NFA

 $\delta^*(S',\sigma)=$ the set of states reachable from any state in S' by reading $\sigma.$

- $\delta^*(S', \varepsilon) = \text{ECLOSE}(S')$
- $\delta^*(S', x\sigma) = \bigcup_{S_1 \in ECLOSE(S')}$



A transition function for sets of states of the NFA

 $\delta^*(S', \sigma)$ = the set of states reachable from any state in S' by reading σ .

- $\delta^*(S', \varepsilon) = \text{ECLOSE}(S')$
- · $\delta^*(S', x\sigma) = \bigcup_{S_1 \in ECLOSE(S')} \bigcup_{S_2 \in \delta(S_1, X)}$



A transition function for sets of states of the NFA

 $\delta^*(S', \sigma)$ = the set of states reachable from any state in S' by reading σ .

- $\delta^*(S', \varepsilon) = \text{ECLOSE}(S')$
- $\delta^*(S', x\sigma) = \bigcup_{s_1 \in ECLOSE(S')} \bigcup_{s_2 \in \delta(s_1, x)} \delta^*(s_2, \sigma)$



Transition function of the DFA

Let

• $\gamma(S', x) = \bigcup_{s \in S'} \mathsf{ECLOSE}(\delta(s, x)),$

Transition function of the DFA

Let

- $\gamma(S', x) = \bigcup_{s \in S'} \mathsf{ECLOSE}(\delta(s, x)),$
- $\gamma^*(S', \varepsilon) = S'$, and
- $\gamma^*(S', x\sigma) = \gamma^*(\gamma(S', x), \sigma).$

Transition function of the DFA

Let

- $\gamma(S', x) = \bigcup_{s \in S'} \mathsf{ECLOSE}(\delta(s, x)),$
- $\gamma^*(S', \varepsilon) = S'$, and
- $\gamma^*(S', x\sigma) = \gamma^*(\gamma(S', x), \sigma).$

Then

 $\delta^*(S', \sigma) = \gamma^*(\mathsf{ECLOSE}(S'), \sigma).$

Transition function of the DFA

Let

- $\gamma(S', x) = \bigcup_{s \in S'} \mathsf{ECLOSE}(\delta(s, x)),$
- $\gamma^*(S', \varepsilon) = S'$, and
- $\gamma^*(S', x\sigma) = \gamma^*(\gamma(S', x), \sigma).$



$$\delta^*(S', \sigma) = \gamma^*(\mathsf{ECLOSE}(S'), \sigma).$$



Transition function of the DFA

Let

- $\gamma(S', x) = \bigcup_{s \in S'} \mathsf{ECLOSE}(\delta(s, x)),$
- $\gamma^*(S', \varepsilon) = S'$, and
- $\gamma^*(S', x\sigma) = \gamma^*(\gamma(S', x), \sigma).$



Then

 $\delta^*(S', \sigma) = \gamma^*(\mathsf{ECLOSE}(S'), \sigma).$

Transition function of the DFA

Let

- $\gamma(S', x) = \bigcup_{s \in S'} \mathsf{ECLOSE}(\delta(s, x)),$
- $\gamma^*(S', \varepsilon) = S'$, and
- $\gamma^*(S', x\sigma) = \gamma^*(\gamma(S', x), \sigma).$



Then

 $\delta^*(S', \sigma) = \gamma^*(\mathsf{ECLOSE}(S'), \sigma).$

Transition function of the DFA

Let

- $\gamma(S', x) = \bigcup_{s \in S'} \mathsf{ECLOSE}(\delta(s, x)),$
- $\gamma^*(S', \varepsilon) = S'$, and
- $\gamma^*(S', x\sigma) = \gamma^*(\gamma(S', x), \sigma).$



$$\delta^*(S', \sigma) = \gamma^*(\mathsf{ECLOSE}(S'), \sigma).$$



Transition function of the DFA

Let

- $\gamma(S', x) = \bigcup_{s \in S'} \mathsf{ECLOSE}(\delta(s, x)),$
- $\gamma^*(S', \varepsilon) = S'$, and
- $\gamma^*(S', x\sigma) = \gamma^*(\gamma(S', x), \sigma).$



Then

 $\delta^*(S', \sigma) = \gamma^*(\mathsf{ECLOSE}(S'), \sigma).$

Transition function of the DFA

Let

- $\gamma(S', x) = \bigcup_{s \in S'} \mathsf{ECLOSE}(\delta(s, x)),$
- $\gamma^*(S', \varepsilon) = S'$, and
- $\gamma^*(S', x\sigma) = \gamma^*(\gamma(S', x), \sigma).$

 $S' \xrightarrow{S_1} \underbrace{S_2} \underbrace{S_3} \underbrace{S_3} \underbrace{\delta^*(S', x_1x_2x_3)} \\ \underbrace{\varepsilon} \underbrace{x_1} \underbrace{\varepsilon} \underbrace{x_2} \underbrace{\varepsilon} \underbrace{x_3} \underbrace{\varepsilon} \underbrace{x_3} \underbrace{\varepsilon} \underbrace{Y(E.(S_1), x_1)} Y(E.(S_2), x_2) Y(E.(S_3), x_3)$

Then

 $\delta^*(S', \sigma) = \gamma^*(\mathsf{ECLOSE}(S'), \sigma).$

Transition function of the DFA

Let

- $\gamma(S', x) = \bigcup_{s \in S'} \mathsf{ECLOSE}(\delta(s, x)),$
- $\gamma^*(S', \varepsilon) = S'$, and
- $\gamma^*(S', x\sigma) = \gamma^*(\gamma(S', x), \sigma).$



$$\delta^*(S',\sigma) = \gamma^*(\mathsf{ECLOSE}(S'),\sigma).$$



So far, $T = 2^{S}$, but only a subset of states may be reachable from t_{0} . \rightarrow We would like to choose T to be this subset.

So far, $T = 2^{S}$, but only a subset of states may be reachable from t_{0} . \rightarrow We would like to choose T to be this subset.

Obvious idea: Construct *D* with $T = 2^S$, then throw away the states not reachable from t_0 .

So far, $T = 2^{S}$, but only a subset of states may be reachable from t_{0} . \rightarrow We would like to choose T to be this subset.

Obvious idea: Construct *D* with $T = 2^{S}$, then throw away the states not reachable from t_{0} . Too costly!

So far, $T = 2^{S}$, but only a subset of states may be reachable from t_{0} . \rightarrow We would like to choose T to be this subset.

Obvious idea: Construct *D* with $T = 2^S$, then throw away the states not reachable from t_0 . Too costly!

Almost as obvious: Generate only the states we can reach from *t*₀:

- Start with $T = \{t_0\}$ and a queue $Q = \{t_0\}$ of new states.
- While $Q \neq \emptyset$:
 - Remove some $t \in Q$ from Q.
 - For each $x \in \Sigma$, add $\gamma(t, x)$ to *T*, and to *Q* if $\gamma(t, x)$ was not in *T* before.



δ	0	1
\rightarrow		







	δ	0	1
\rightarrow	${S_0}$	{S ₁ }	







$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \delta & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \rightarrow & \{S_0\} & \{S_1\} & \emptyset \\ & & & \\ &$$

39/65


δ	0	1
\rightarrow {S ₀ }	{S ₁ }	Ø
$\{S_1\}$	Ø	

Ø



	δ	0	1
-	\rightarrow {S ₀ }	$\{S_1\}$	Ø
	{S ₁ }	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
	Ø		



	δ	0	1
\rightarrow	$\{S_0\}$	{S ₁ }	Ø
	$\{S_1\}$	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
	Ø		
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$		



	δ	0	1
\rightarrow	$\{s_0\}$	{S ₁ }	Ø
	$\{S_1\}$	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
	Ø	Ø	Ø
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$		



	δ	0	1
\rightarrow	$\{S_0\}$	$\{S_1\}$	Ø
	$\{S_1\}$	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
	Ø	Ø	Ø
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$	{S ₇ }	

39/65



δ	0	1
\rightarrow {S ₀ }	{S ₁ }	Ø
$\{S_1\}$	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
Ø	Ø	Ø
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_6\}$	9} {S7}	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$



	δ	0	1
\rightarrow	$\{s_0\}$	$\{S_1\}$	Ø
	$\{S_1\}$	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
	Ø	Ø	Ø
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$	{S ₇ }	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$
	{S ₇ }		
	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$		



	δ	0	1
\rightarrow	{S ₀ }	{S ₁ }	Ø
	{S ₁ }	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
	Ø	Ø	Ø
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$	{S ₇ }	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$
	{S ₇ }	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	
	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$		



	δ	0	1
\rightarrow	$\{S_0\}$	{S ₁ }	Ø
	{S ₁ }	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
	Ø	Ø	Ø
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$	{S7}	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$
	{S ₇ }	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	Ø
	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$		



	δ	0	1
\rightarrow	$\{S_0\}$	{S ₁ }	Ø
	$\{S_1\}$	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
	Ø	Ø	Ø
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$	{S ₇ }	$\{s_4, s_{10}\}$
	{S ₇ }	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	Ø
	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$		
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$		



	δ	0	1
\rightarrow	{S ₀ }	{S ₁ }	Ø
	{S ₁ }	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
	Ø	Ø	Ø
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$	{S ₇ }	$\{s_4, s_{10}\}$
	{S ₇ }	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	Ø
	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$	{S ₅ }	
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$		



	δ	0	1
\rightarrow	{S ₀ }	{S ₁ }	Ø
	$\{S_1\}$	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
	Ø	Ø	Ø
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$	{S ₇ }	$\{s_4, s_{10}\}$
	{S ₇ }	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	Ø
	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$	$\{S_5\}$	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9, S_{11}\}$
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$		



δ	0	1
\rightarrow {S ₀ }	{S ₁ }	Ø
$\{S_1\}$	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
Ø	Ø	Ø
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$	{S ₇ }	$\{s_4, s_{10}\}$
{S ₇ }	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	Ø
$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$	{S ₅ }	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9, S_{11}\}$
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$		
$\{S_5\}$		
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9, S_{11}\}$		



δ		0	1
\rightarrow {S ₀ }		{S ₁ }	Ø
$\{S_1\}$		Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
Ø		Ø	Ø
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6\}$, S9}	{S ₇ }	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$
{S ₇ }	{	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	Ø
{S4, S10	}	$\{S_5\}$	$\{S_2,S_3,S_6,S_9,S_{11}\}$
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_6, S_6\}$	5 ₈ , S ₉ }	{S ₇ }	
$\{S_5\}$			
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_6\}$	59, S11}		



δ	0	1
\rightarrow {S ₀ }	{S1}	Ø
$\{S_1\}$	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
Ø	Ø	Ø
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$	{S ₇ }	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$
{S ₇ }	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	Ø
$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$	$\{S_5\}$	$\{S_2,S_3,S_6,S_9,S_{11}\}$
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	{S ₇ }	$\{s_4, s_{10}\}$
{S ₅ }		
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9, S_{11}\}$		



	δ	0	1
\rightarrow	$\{S_0\}$	{S ₁ }	Ø
	$\{S_1\}$	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
	Ø	Ø	Ø
{	$S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$	$\{S_7\}$	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$
	{S ₇ }	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	Ø
	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$	$\{S_5\}$	$\{S_2,S_3,S_6,S_9,S_{11}\}$
{S ₂	$, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9 \}$	{S ₇ }	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$
	$\{S_5\}$	Ø	Ø
{S ₂	$, S_3, S_6, S_9, S_{11} \}$		



δ	0	1
\rightarrow {S ₀ }	{S ₁ }	Ø
$\{S_1\}$	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
Ø	Ø	Ø
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$	{S ₇ }	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$
{S ₇ }	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	Ø
$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$	{S ₅ }	$\{S_2,S_3,S_6,S_9,S_{11}\}$
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	{S ₇ }	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$
$\{S_5\}$	Ø	Ø
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9, S_{11}\}$	{S7}	



	δ	0	1
\rightarrow	{S ₀ }	{S ₁ }	Ø
	$\{S_1\}$	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
	Ø	Ø	Ø
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$	{S ₇ }	$\{s_4, s_{10}\}$
	{S ₇ }	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	Ø
	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$	$\{S_5\}$	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9, S_{11}\}$
	$\{S_2,S_3,S_6,S_8,S_9\}$	$\{S_7\}$	$\{s_4, s_{10}\}$
	$\{s_5\}$	Ø	Ø
	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9, S_{11}\}$	{S7}	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$



δ	0	1
\rightarrow {S ₀ }	$\{S_1\}$	Ø
$\{S_1\}$	Ø	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$
Ø	Ø	Ø
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9\}$	$\{S_7\}$	$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$
{S ₇ }	$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	Ø
$\{S_4, S_{10}\}$	$\{S_5\}$	$\{S_2,S_3,S_6,S_9,S_{11}\}$
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_8, S_9\}$	{S ₇ }	$\{s_4, s_{10}\}$
* {S ₅ }	Ø	Ø
$\{S_2, S_3, S_6, S_9, S_{11}\}$	{S7}	$\{s_4, s_{10}\}$



39/65

This works for most languages (e.g., the ones used in lexical analysis).

This works for most languages (e.g., the ones used in lexical analysis).

However, there are languages where a DFA needs exponentially more states than an NFA:

 $\mathcal{L}(.*1.\{n-1\})$

This works for most languages (e.g., the ones used in lexical analysis).

However, there are languages where a DFA needs exponentially more states than an NFA:

 $\mathcal{L}(.*1.\{n-1\})$

The NFA has n + 1 states:

This works for most languages (e.g., the ones used in lexical analysis).

However, there are languages where a DFA needs exponentially more states than an NFA:

$$\mathcal{L}(.*1.\{n-1\})$$

The NFA has n + 1 states:



Any DFA that decides $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(.*1{(n-1)})$ has at least 2^n states. ($\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.)

Any DFA that decides $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(.*1.\{n-1\})$ has at least 2^n states. ($\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.)

Any DFA that decides $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(.*1{(n-1)})$ has at least 2^n states. ($\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.)

Proof:

• Assume there exists a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ with $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}$ and $|S| < 2^n$.

Any DFA that decides $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(.*1{(n-1)})$ has at least 2^n states. ($\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.)

- Assume there exists a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ with $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}$ and $|S| < 2^n$.
- \Rightarrow There exist two strings $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \in \Sigma^n$ such that $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2)$.

Any DFA that decides $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(.*1.\{n-1\})$ has at least 2ⁿ states. ($\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.)

- Assume there exists a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ with $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}$ and $|S| < 2^n$.
- \Rightarrow There exist two strings $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \in \Sigma^n$ such that $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2)$.
 - Since $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, w.l.o.g. $\sigma_1 = .\{m\}0.\{n m 1\}$ and $\sigma_2 = .\{m\}1.\{n m 1\}$.

Any DFA that decides $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(.*1.\{n-1\})$ has at least 2ⁿ states. ($\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.)

- Assume there exists a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ with $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}$ and $|S| < 2^n$.
- \Rightarrow There exist two strings $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \in \Sigma^n$ such that $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2)$.
 - Since $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, w.l.o.g. $\sigma_1 = .\{m\}0.\{n m 1\}$ and $\sigma_2 = .\{m\}1.\{n m 1\}$.
 - Since $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2)$, we also have $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1 0^m) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2 0^m)$.

Any DFA that decides $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(.*1.\{n-1\})$ has at least 2ⁿ states. ($\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.)

- Assume there exists a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ with $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}$ and $|S| < 2^n$.
- \Rightarrow There exist two strings $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \in \Sigma^n$ such that $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2)$.
 - Since $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, w.l.o.g. $\sigma_1 = .\{m\}0.\{n m 1\}$ and $\sigma_2 = .\{m\}1.\{n m 1\}$.
 - Since $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2)$, we also have $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1 0^m) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2 0^m)$.
- \Rightarrow Either *D* accepts both $\sigma_1 0^m$ and $\sigma_2 0^m$ or *D* rejects both $\sigma_1 0^m$ and $\sigma_2 0^m$.

Any DFA that decides $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(.*1.\{n-1\})$ has at least 2ⁿ states. ($\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.)

- Assume there exists a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ with $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}$ and $|S| < 2^n$.
- \Rightarrow There exist two strings $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \in \Sigma^n$ such that $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2)$.
 - Since $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, w.l.o.g. $\sigma_1 = .\{m\}0.\{n m 1\}$ and $\sigma_2 = .\{m\}1.\{n m 1\}$.
 - Since $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2)$, we also have $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1 0^m) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2 0^m)$.
- \Rightarrow Either *D* accepts both $\sigma_1 0^m$ and $\sigma_2 0^m$ or *D* rejects both $\sigma_1 0^m$ and $\sigma_2 0^m$.
 - However, $\sigma_1 0^m \notin \mathcal{L}$ and $\sigma_2 0^m \in \mathcal{L}$.

Any DFA that decides $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(.*1.\{n-1\})$ has at least 2ⁿ states. ($\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.)

- Assume there exists a DFA $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ with $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}$ and $|S| < 2^n$.
- \Rightarrow There exist two strings $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \in \Sigma^n$ such that $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2)$.
 - Since $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, w.l.o.g. $\sigma_1 = .\{m\}0.\{n m 1\}$ and $\sigma_2 = .\{m\}1.\{n m 1\}$.
 - Since $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2)$, we also have $\delta^*(s_0, \sigma_1 0^m) = \delta^*(s_0, \sigma_2 0^m)$.
- \Rightarrow Either *D* accepts both $\sigma_1 0^m$ and $\sigma_2 0^m$ or *D* rejects both $\sigma_1 0^m$ and $\sigma_2 0^m$.
 - However, $\sigma_1 0^m \notin \mathcal{L}$ and $\sigma_2 0^m \in \mathcal{L}$.
- \Rightarrow *D* does not decide *L*.

FROM REGULAR EXPRESSION TO NFA

Base cases:

FROM REGULAR EXPRESSION TO NFA

Base cases:


Base cases:

start – Ø (s_0)

Base cases:

start $\left(S_{0}\right)$

Ø

Base cases:

$$\emptyset \qquad \text{start} \longrightarrow S_0$$

$$\epsilon$$
 start \rightarrow S_0

Base cases:

$$\emptyset$$
 start \longrightarrow S_0

$$\epsilon$$
 start $\rightarrow s_0$

 $x \quad (x \in \Sigma)$

Base cases:

$$\emptyset \qquad \text{start} \longrightarrow S_0$$

$$\epsilon$$
 start \rightarrow s_0

$$x (x \in \Sigma)$$
 start $\longrightarrow S_0 \xrightarrow{X} S_1$

Base cases:

$$\emptyset \qquad \text{ start} \longrightarrow S_0$$

$$\epsilon$$
 start \rightarrow s_0

$$x (x \in \Sigma)$$
 start $\longrightarrow S_0 \xrightarrow{X} S_1$



$$x (x \in \Sigma)$$
 start $\longrightarrow S_0 \xrightarrow{X} S_1$

Inductive steps:

A|B



start
$$\longrightarrow (S_0)$$

$$x (x \in \Sigma)$$
 start $\longrightarrow S_0 \xrightarrow{X} S_1$

Inductive steps:

A|B



Base cases: \emptyset start \rightarrow (s_0)

$$\epsilon$$
 start \rightarrow s_0

$$x (x \in \Sigma)$$
 start $\longrightarrow S_0 \xrightarrow{X} S_1$



Base cases: \emptyset start \rightarrow (s_0) ϵ start \rightarrow (s_0)

$$x (x \in \Sigma)$$
 start $\longrightarrow S_0 \xrightarrow{X} S_1$

Inductive steps:



AB



$$x \quad (x \in \Sigma) \quad \text{start} \longrightarrow S_0 \xrightarrow{X} S_1$$







$$x \quad (x \in \Sigma) \quad \text{start} \longrightarrow S_0 \xrightarrow{X} S_1$$





Base cases: \emptyset start \rightarrow (s_0) ϵ start \rightarrow (s_0)

$$x (x \in \Sigma)$$
 start $\longrightarrow S_0 \xrightarrow{X} S_1$







$$\epsilon$$
 start \longrightarrow S_0

x
$$(x \in \Sigma)$$
 start $\longrightarrow S_0 \xrightarrow{X} S_1$





$$\rightarrow \bigcirc M_A \bigcirc \bigcirc$$

Base cases: \emptyset start \longrightarrow (S_0) ϵ start \longrightarrow (S_0)

$$x \quad (x \in \Sigma) \quad \text{start} \longrightarrow \overbrace{S_0}^X \overbrace{S_1}^X$$



























 $\rightarrow \bigcirc \xrightarrow{1} \bigcirc$













 $(\mathbf{0}|\epsilon)(1|000*)*(0|\epsilon)$



 $(\mathbf{0}|\epsilon)(1|000*)*(0|\epsilon)$



 $(0|\mathbf{\epsilon})(1|000*)*(0|\mathbf{\epsilon})$



 $(0|\mathbf{\epsilon})(1|000*)*(0|\mathbf{\epsilon})$
















Concatenating the labels of the edges of a path in a (D/N)FA $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ produces a string, called the **label** of the path.

N accepts a string σ if there exists a path from s_0 to an accepting state whose label is σ .



Concatenating the labels of the edges of a path in a (D/N)FA $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ produces a string, called the **label** of the path.

N accepts a string σ if there exists a path from s_0 to an accepting state whose label is σ .



Accepts 01101

Concatenating the labels of the edges of a path in a (D/N)FA $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ produces a string, called the **label** of the path.

N accepts a string σ if there exists a path from s_0 to an accepting state whose label is σ .

Definition: Regular Expression NFA (RNFA)

An RNFA *N* is a finite automaton whose edges are labelled with regular expressions.

N accepts a string σ if there exists a path from s_0 to an accepting state whose label is *R* and $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(R)$.



Accepts 01101



Concatenating the labels of the edges of a path in a (D/N)FA $N = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ produces a string, called the **label** of the path.

N accepts a string σ if there exists a path from s_0 to an accepting state whose label is σ .

Definition: Regular Expression NFA (RNFA)

An RNFA *N* is a finite automaton whose edges are labelled with regular expressions.

N accepts a string σ if there exists a path from s_0 to an accepting state whose label is *R* and $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(R)$.



Accepts 01101



 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Accepts} \\ \text{01101} \in \mathcal{L}((0|1)(0|1)1..) \end{array}$

Proof idea:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{NFA} &\to \mathsf{RFA}_1 \to \mathsf{RFA}_2 \to \cdots \to \mathsf{RFA}_n\\ \mathcal{L}(\mathsf{NFA}) &= \mathcal{L}(\mathsf{RFA}_1) = \mathcal{L}(\mathsf{RFA}_2) = \cdots = \mathcal{L}(\mathsf{RFA}_n) \end{split}$$

Proof idea:

$$NFA \to RFA_1 \to RFA_2 \to \cdots \to RFA_n$$
$$\mathcal{L}(NFA) = \mathcal{L}(RFA_1) = \mathcal{L}(RFA_2) = \cdots = \mathcal{L}(RFA_n)$$

RFA_n has two states, an initial state and an accepting state:



Proof idea:

$$NFA \to RFA_1 \to RFA_2 \to \cdots \to RFA_n$$
$$\mathcal{L}(NFA) = \mathcal{L}(RFA_1) = \mathcal{L}(RFA_2) = \cdots = \mathcal{L}(RFA_n)$$

RFA_n has two states, an initial state and an accepting state:



 $\mathcal{L}(\mathsf{NFA}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathsf{RFA}_n) = \mathcal{L}((R_1|R_2R_4*R_3)*R_2R_4*)$

$\text{NFA} \rightarrow \text{RFA}_1\text{:}$

- $\mathcal{L}(NFA) = \mathcal{L}(RFA_1)$
- $\cdot\,$ RFA1 has one initial and one accepting state.

$\text{NFA} \rightarrow \text{RFA}_1\text{:}$

- $\mathcal{L}(NFA) = \mathcal{L}(RFA_1)$
- $\cdot\,$ RFA1 has one initial and one accepting state.



$\text{NFA} \rightarrow \text{RFA}_1\text{:}$

- $\mathcal{L}(NFA) = \mathcal{L}(RFA_1)$
- $\cdot\,$ RFA1 has one initial and one accepting state.



 $\mathsf{RFA}_k \to \mathsf{RFA}_{k+1}$:

- $\mathcal{L}(\mathsf{RFA}_k) = \mathcal{L}(\mathsf{RFA}_{k+1})$
- RFA_{k+1} has one state less than RFA_k .

 $\mathsf{RFA}_k \to \mathsf{RFA}_{k+1}$:

- $\mathcal{L}(\mathsf{RFA}_k) = \mathcal{L}(\mathsf{RFA}_{k+1})$
- RFA_{k+1} has one state less than RFA_k .





 $\mathsf{RFA}_k \to \mathsf{RFA}_{k+1}$:

- $\mathcal{L}(\mathsf{RFA}_k) = \mathcal{L}(\mathsf{RFA}_{k+1})$
- RFA_{k+1} has one state less than RFA_k .





 $\mathsf{RFA}_k \to \mathsf{RFA}_{k+1}$:

- $\mathcal{L}(\mathsf{RFA}_k) = \mathcal{L}(\mathsf{RFA}_{k+1})$
- RFA_{k+1} has one state less than RFA_k .



Note: This may create loops because some states may simultaneously be in- and out-neighbours of *s*.











11*00|0start $\rightarrow s_{0}$ $11*(\epsilon|0)|\epsilon$



Regular expression: $(11*00|0)*(11*(\varepsilon|0)|\varepsilon)$

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- $\cdot\,$ Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- $\cdot\,$ Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - \cdot Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- $\cdot\,$ Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- $\cdot\,$ Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

Scanner

A scanner produces a token (token type, value) stream from a character stream.

Scanner

A scanner produces a token (token type, value) stream from a character stream.

Modes of operation

- Complete pass produces token stream, which is then passed to the parser.
- Parser calls scanner to request next token

In either case, the scanner greedily recognizes the longest possible token.

Scanner implementation

- Hand-written, ad-hoc: Usually when speed is a concern.
- From regular expression using scanner generator: More convenient. Result:
 - $\cdot\,$ Case statements representing transitions of the DFA.
 - Table representing the DFA's transition function plus driver code to implement the DFA.

BUILDING A SCANNER

Workflow

Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA \rightarrow minimized DFA

BUILDING A SCANNER

Workflow

Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA \rightarrow minimized DFA

Extensions to pure DFA:

BUILDING A SCANNER

Workflow

Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA \rightarrow minimized DFA

Extensions to pure DFA:

- Not enough to accept a token; need to know which token was accepted and its value:
 - One accepting state per token type
 - Return string read along the path to the accepting state
BUILDING A SCANNER

Workflow

Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA \rightarrow minimized DFA

Extensions to pure DFA:

- Not enough to accept a token; need to know which token was accepted and its value:
 - One accepting state per token type
 - Return string read along the path to the accepting state
- Keywords are not identifiers:
 - Look up identifier in keyword table (e.g., hash table) to see whether it is in fact a keyword

BUILDING A SCANNER

Workflow

Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA \rightarrow minimized DFA

Extensions to pure DFA:

- Not enough to accept a token; need to know which token was accepted and its value:
 - One accepting state per token type
 - Return string read along the path to the accepting state
- Keywords are not identifiers:
 - Look up identifier in keyword table (e.g., hash table) to see whether it is in fact a keyword
- "Look ahead" to distinguish tokens with common prefix (e.g., 100 vs 100.5):
 - Try to find the longest possible match by continuing to scan from an accepting state.
 - Backtrack to last accepting state when "stuck".

Regular expressions for the different tokens:

```
lparen: \setminus(
rparen: )
lbrac: \backslash \Gamma
rbrac: 1
comma:
        ,
dot: \backslash.
dotdot: \land.
lt:
        <
le:
   <=
ident: [A-Za-z][A-Za-z0-9]*
int: [+-]?[0-9]+
real: [+-]?[0-9]+(\.[0-9]+)?([Ee][+-]?[0-9]+)?
```

• Turn each regular expression into an NFA, label each accepting state with the token represented by this expression.

- Turn each regular expression into an NFA, label each accepting state with the token represented by this expression.
- $\cdot\,$ Add an NFA that consumes spaces and comments.

- Turn each regular expression into an NFA, label each accepting state with the token represented by this expression.
- Add an NFA that consumes spaces and comments.
- \cdot Join the NFA using $\varepsilon\text{-edges}$ from a new start state to their start states.

- Turn each regular expression into an NFA, label each accepting state with the token represented by this expression.
- Add an NFA that consumes spaces and comments.
- \cdot Join the NFA using $\varepsilon\text{-edges}$ from a new start state to their start states.
- Add ϵ -transitions from the accepting states of the spaces/comments NFA to the start state.

- Turn each regular expression into an NFA, label each accepting state with the token represented by this expression.
- Add an NFA that consumes spaces and comments.
- \cdot Join the NFA using $\varepsilon\text{-edges}$ from a new start state to their start states.
- Add ϵ -transitions from the accepting states of the spaces/comments NFA to the start state.
- Turn the NFA into a DFA:
 - If the tokens are unambiguous, each accepting state of the DFA, viewed as a set, includes accepting states from only one of the regular expression NFA.
 - Label the DFA accepting state with this token.

- Turn each regular expression into an NFA, label each accepting state with the token represented by this expression.
- Add an NFA that consumes spaces and comments.
- \cdot Join the NFA using $\varepsilon\text{-edges}$ from a new start state to their start states.
- Add ϵ -transitions from the accepting states of the spaces/comments NFA to the start state.
- Turn the NFA into a DFA:
 - If the tokens are unambiguous, each accepting state of the DFA, viewed as a set, includes accepting states from only one of the regular expression NFA.
 - Label the DFA accepting state with this token.
- Minimize the DFA.

EXTENDED EXAMPLE: AN INCOMPLETE SCANNER FOR PASCAL (3)



Driver code:

- Whenever the scan reaches an accepting state of the spaces/comments NFA, set a start marker.
- Whenever the scan reaches an accepting state of any other NFA, set an end marker and remember the token.
- $\cdot\,$ Whenever the scan reaches state Ø,
 - Go back to the end marker.
 - Report the remembered token.
 - Turn the text between start and end marker into a representation of the scanned token (integer, identifier string, ...).
 - Set the start marker to be equal to the end marker.

Goal

Given a DFA *D*, produce a DFA *D'* with the minimum number of states and such that $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(D')$.

Goal

Given a DFA *D*, produce a DFA *D'* with the minimum number of states and such that $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(D')$.

Idea

Group states of *D* into classes of equivalent states (accepting/ non-accepting, same transitions).

Goal

Given a DFA *D*, produce a DFA *D'* with the minimum number of states and such that $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(D')$.

Idea

Group states of *D* into classes of equivalent states (accepting/ non-accepting, same transitions).

Goal

Given a DFA *D*, produce a DFA *D'* with the minimum number of states and such that $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(D')$.

Idea

Group states of *D* into classes of equivalent states (accepting/ non-accepting, same transitions).

Procedure

· Start with two equivalence classes: accepting and non-accepting

Goal

Given a DFA *D*, produce a DFA *D'* with the minimum number of states and such that $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(D')$.

Idea

Group states of *D* into classes of equivalent states (accepting/ non-accepting, same transitions).

- · Start with two equivalence classes: accepting and non-accepting
- Find an equivalence class C and a letter a such that, upon reading a, the states in C transition to k > 1 equivalence classes C'_1, C'_2, ..., C'_k.
 Partition C into subclasses C_1, C_2, ..., C_k such that, upon reading a, the states in C_i transition to states in C'_i.

Goal

Given a DFA *D*, produce a DFA *D'* with the minimum number of states and such that $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(D')$.

Idea

Group states of *D* into classes of equivalent states (accepting/ non-accepting, same transitions).

- · Start with two equivalence classes: accepting and non-accepting
- Find an equivalence class C and a letter a such that, upon reading a, the states in C transition to k > 1 equivalence classes C'_1, C'_2, ..., C'_k.
 Partition C into subclasses C_1, C_2, ..., C_k such that, upon reading a, the states in C_i transition to states in C'_i.
- Repeat until no such "partitionable" equivalence class C can be found.

Goal

Given a DFA *D*, produce a DFA *D'* with the minimum number of states and such that $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(D')$.

Idea

Group states of *D* into classes of equivalent states (accepting/ non-accepting, same transitions).

- · Start with two equivalence classes: accepting and non-accepting
- Find an equivalence class C and a letter a such that, upon reading a, the states in C transition to k > 1 equivalence classes C'_1, C'_2, ..., C'_k.
 Partition C into subclasses C_1, C_2, ..., C_k such that, upon reading a, the states in C_i transition to states in C'_i.
- Repeat until no such "partitionable" equivalence class C can be found.
- The final set of equivalence classes is the set of states of the minimized DFA.

































The described procedure ensures that $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(D')$ but does not distinguish between different types of accepting states (corresponding to tokens).

To distinguish between different types of accepting states, start with one equivalence class per type of accepting state.

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- $\cdot\,$ Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- $\cdot\,$ Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

ROAD MAP

- Regular languages
- Regular expressions
- Deterministic finite automata (DFA)
- Non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- $\cdot\,$ Expressive power of DFA and NFA
- $\cdot\,$ Equivalence of regular expressions, DFA, and NFA
- Building a scanner
 - Regular expression \rightarrow NFA \rightarrow DFA
 - Minimizing the DFA
- Limitations of regular languages

• *RS*, *R* ∪ *S*, *R**

- RS, $R \cup S$, R^*
 - By definition

• *RS*, *R* ∪ *S*, *R**

• $\Sigma^* \setminus R$ (the complement of *R*)

By definition

• RS, $R \cup S$, R^*

By definition

 Σ* \ R (the complement of R)
 Build a DFA for Σ* \ R from a DFA for R by making accepting states non-accepting and vice versa.

- RS, $R \cup S$, R^*
 - By definition

- Σ* \ R (the complement of R)
 Build a DFA for Σ* \ R from a DFA for R by making accepting states non-accepting and vice versa.
- $\overleftarrow{R} = \{\overleftarrow{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in R\}$, where $\overleftarrow{\sigma}$ is σ written backwards
- RS, $R \cup S$, R^*
 - By definition

- Σ* \ R (the complement of R)
 Build a DFA for Σ* \ R from a DFA for R by making accepting states non-accepting and vice versa.
- $\overleftarrow{R} = \{\overleftarrow{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in R\}$, where $\overleftarrow{\sigma}$ is σ written backwards

• RS, $R \cup S$, R^*

By definition

• $R \cap S$

- Σ* \ R (the complement of R)
 Build a DFA for Σ* \ R from a DFA for R by making accepting states non-accepting and vice versa.
- $\overleftarrow{R} = \{\overleftarrow{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in R\}$, where $\overleftarrow{\sigma}$ is σ written backwards

• RS, $R \cup S$, R^*

By definition

• $R \cap S$

 $R \cap S = \Sigma^* \setminus ((\Sigma^* \setminus R) \cup (\Sigma^* \setminus S))$

- Σ* \ R (the complement of R)
 Build a DFA for Σ* \ R from a DFA for R by making accepting states non-accepting and vice versa.
- $\overleftarrow{R} = \{\overleftarrow{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in R\}$, where $\overleftarrow{\sigma}$ is σ written backwards

• RS, $R \cup S$, R^*

By definition

• $R \cap S$

 $R \cap S = \Sigma^* \setminus ((\Sigma^* \setminus R) \cup (\Sigma^* \setminus S))$

• $R \setminus S$

- Σ* \ R (the complement of R)
 Build a DFA for Σ* \ R from a DFA for R by making accepting states non-accepting and vice versa.
- $\overleftarrow{R} = \{\overleftarrow{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in R\}$, where $\overleftarrow{\sigma}$ is σ written backwards

• $RS, R \cup S, R^*$

By definition

• $R \cap S$

 $R \cap S = \Sigma^* \setminus ((\Sigma^* \setminus R) \cup (\Sigma^* \setminus S))$

• $R \setminus S$

 $R \setminus S = R \cap (\Sigma^* \setminus S)$

- Σ* \ R (the complement of R)
 Build a DFA for Σ* \ R from a DFA for R by making accepting states non-accepting and vice versa.
- $\overleftarrow{R} = \{\overleftarrow{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in R\}$, where $\overleftarrow{\sigma}$ is σ written backwards

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

 $\Rightarrow \text{The language } \mathcal{L} = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 0\}$ is not regular!

• Assume \mathcal{L} is regular and let $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ be as in the Pumping Lemma.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

- Assume \mathcal{L} is regular and let $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ be as in the Pumping Lemma.
- Let $\sigma = 0^{n_{\mathcal{L}}} 1^{n_{\mathcal{L}}} \in \mathcal{L}$.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

- Assume \mathcal{L} is regular and let $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ be as in the Pumping Lemma.
- Let $\sigma = 0^{n_{\mathcal{L}}} 1^{n_{\mathcal{L}}} \in \mathcal{L}$.
- Then $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with $|\alpha \beta| \le n_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $|\beta| > 0$ and $\alpha \beta \beta \gamma \in \mathcal{L}$.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

- Assume \mathcal{L} is regular and let $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ be as in the Pumping Lemma.
- Let $\sigma = 0^{n_{\mathcal{L}}} 1^{n_{\mathcal{L}}} \in \mathcal{L}$.
- Then $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with $|\alpha \beta| \le n_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $|\beta| > 0$ and $\alpha \beta \beta \gamma \in \mathcal{L}$.
- Since $|\alpha\beta| \le n_{\mathcal{L}}$, we have $\alpha = 0^k$ and $\beta = 0^m$, where $m = |\beta| > 0$.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

- Assume \mathcal{L} is regular and let $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ be as in the Pumping Lemma.
- Let $\sigma = 0^{n_{\mathcal{L}}} 1^{n_{\mathcal{L}}} \in \mathcal{L}$.
- Then $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with $|\alpha \beta| \le n_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $|\beta| > 0$ and $\alpha \beta \beta \gamma \in \mathcal{L}$.
- Since $|\alpha\beta| \le n_{\mathcal{L}}$, we have $\alpha = 0^k$ and $\beta = 0^m$, where $m = |\beta| > 0$.
- Thus, $\alpha\beta\beta\gamma = 0^{m+n_{\mathcal{L}}}1^{n_{\mathcal{L}}} \notin \mathcal{L}$, a contradiction.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

Let $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ be a DFA such that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(D)$.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

Let $D = (S, \Sigma, \delta, s_0, F)$ be a DFA such that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(D)$.

Let
$$n_{\mathcal{L}} = |S| + 1$$
.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.



For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.



For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.



For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.



For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

· $\mathcal{L} = \{ (^m)^m \mid m \ge 0 \}$ is not regular.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

• $\mathcal{L} = \{ (^m)^m \mid m \ge 0 \}$ is not regular.

Same structure as $\mathcal{L}' = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 0\}.$

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

• $\mathcal{L} = \{ (^m)^m \mid m \ge 0 \}$ is not regular. Same structure as $\mathcal{L}' = \{ 0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 0 \}$.

• $\mathcal{L} = \{a^p \mid p \text{ is a prime number}\}\$ is not regular.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

• $\mathcal{L} = \{(^m)^m \mid m \ge 0\}$ is not regular. Same structure as $\mathcal{L}' = \{0^{n}1^n \mid n \ge 0\}.$

- $\mathcal{L} = \{a^p \mid p \text{ is a prime number}\}\$ is not regular.
 - \cdot Assume ${\cal L}$ is regular.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

• $\mathcal{L} = \{(^m)^m \mid m \ge 0\}$ is not regular. Same structure as $\mathcal{L}' = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 0\}.$

- $\mathcal{L} = \{a^p \mid p \text{ is a prime number}\}\$ is not regular.
 - \cdot Assume ${\cal L}$ is regular.
 - Choose prime number $p \ge n_{\mathcal{L}} + 2$ $\Rightarrow \sigma = a^p \in \mathcal{L}.$

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}}$,
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

• $\mathcal{L} = \{(^m)^m \mid m \ge 0\}$ is not regular. Same structure as $\mathcal{L}' = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 0\}$.

- $\mathcal{L} = \{a^p \mid p \text{ is a prime number}\}\$ is not regular.
 - \cdot Assume ${\cal L}$ is regular.
 - Choose prime number $p \ge n_{\mathcal{L}} + 2$ $\Rightarrow \sigma = a^p \in \mathcal{L}.$
 - $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$, where $\alpha = a^a$, $\beta = a^b$, $a + b \le n_{\mathcal{L}}$ and b > 0.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}},$
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

• $\mathcal{L} = \{(^m)^m \mid m \ge 0\}$ is not regular. Same structure as $\mathcal{L}' = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 0\}$.

- $\mathcal{L} = \{a^p \mid p \text{ is a prime number}\}\$ is not regular.
 - \cdot Assume ${\cal L}$ is regular.
 - Choose prime number $p \ge n_{\mathcal{L}} + 2$ $\Rightarrow \sigma = a^p \in \mathcal{L}.$
 - $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$, where $\alpha = a^a$, $\beta = a^b$, $a + b \le n_{\mathcal{L}}$ and b > 0.
 - $\alpha\beta^{c}\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$, where $c = |\alpha\gamma| = p b \ge 2$.

For every regular language \mathcal{L} , there exists a constant $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $|\sigma| \ge n_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written as $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$ with

- $|\alpha\beta| \leq n_{\mathcal{L}},$
- · $|\beta| > 0$, and
- $\alpha\beta^k\gamma\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $k\geq 0$.

• $\mathcal{L} = \{ (^m)^m \mid m \ge 0 \}$ is not regular. Same structure as $\mathcal{L}' = \{ 0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 0 \}$.

- $\mathcal{L} = \{a^p \mid p \text{ is a prime number}\}\$ is not regular.
 - \cdot Assume ${\cal L}$ is regular.
 - Choose prime number $p \ge n_{\mathcal{L}} + 2$ $\Rightarrow \sigma = a^p \in \mathcal{L}.$
 - $\sigma = \alpha \beta \gamma$, where $\alpha = a^a$, $\beta = a^b$, $a + b \le n_{\mathcal{L}}$ and b > 0.
 - $\alpha\beta^{c}\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$, where $c = |\alpha\gamma| = p b \ge 2$.
 - However, $|\alpha\beta^{c}\gamma| = (b+1)c$, which is not prime because $b+1 \ge 2$ and $c \ge 2$. Contradiction.

• Parsing is complex \Rightarrow Apply to a token stream rather than a character stream.

- $\cdot\,$ Parsing is complex \Rightarrow Apply to a token stream rather than a character stream.
- Lexical analysis turns character stream into more compact token stream.

- \cdot Parsing is complex \Rightarrow Apply to a token stream rather than a character stream.
- Lexical analysis turns character stream into more compact token stream.
- Regular languages are general enough to capture the structure of tokens but not general enough to capture the structure of programming languages.

- \cdot Parsing is complex \Rightarrow Apply to a token stream rather than a character stream.
- Lexical analysis turns character stream into more compact token stream.
- Regular languages are general enough to capture the structure of tokens but not general enough to capture the structure of programming languages.
- There exist languages that are not regular.

- \cdot Parsing is complex \Rightarrow Apply to a token stream rather than a character stream.
- Lexical analysis turns character stream into more compact token stream.
- Regular languages are general enough to capture the structure of tokens but not general enough to capture the structure of programming languages.
- There exist languages that are not regular.
- Regular languages are described using regular expressions and recognized using DFA.

- $\cdot\,$ Parsing is complex \Rightarrow Apply to a token stream rather than a character stream.
- Lexical analysis turns character stream into more compact token stream.
- Regular languages are general enough to capture the structure of tokens but not general enough to capture the structure of programming languages.
- There exist languages that are not regular.
- Regular languages are described using regular expressions and recognized using DFA.
- DFA are very simple machines that can be implemented very efficiently.

- \cdot Parsing is complex \Rightarrow Apply to a token stream rather than a character stream.
- Lexical analysis turns character stream into more compact token stream.
- Regular languages are general enough to capture the structure of tokens but not general enough to capture the structure of programming languages.
- There exist languages that are not regular.
- Regular languages are described using regular expressions and recognized using DFA.
- DFA are very simple machines that can be implemented very efficiently.
- NFA are mainly a tool for translating regular expressions to DFA.

- \cdot Parsing is complex \Rightarrow Apply to a token stream rather than a character stream.
- Lexical analysis turns character stream into more compact token stream.
- Regular languages are general enough to capture the structure of tokens but not general enough to capture the structure of programming languages.
- There exist languages that are not regular.
- Regular languages are described using regular expressions and recognized using DFA.
- DFA are very simple machines that can be implemented very efficiently.
- NFA are mainly a tool for translating regular expressions to DFA.
- Lexical analysis requires some simple extensions to DFA because we need to know which token wa accepted and we need to support greediness/backtracking.