✓	yes
Х	no
_	some
Ш	

## **Evaluation of Presentation by Graduate Student**

<ul> <li>Was the presentation insightful?</li> <li>Were appropriate examples used?</li> <li>Did you learn anything from the presentation?</li> <li>Was the audience confused by, or were there obvious errors in, the presentation?</li> <li>Did the audience benefit from the analysis of the article (or topic), or of its consequences?</li> <li>Do you understand something about the article (or topic) better because of the presentation?  O</li></ul>				_ Topic:	· ———								Date: _		
<ul> <li>Was the presentation audibly clear? volume, pace, enunciation, emphasis</li> <li>Did the presentation engage your attention?</li> <li>Did the presenter talk directly to the audience?</li> <li>Was the speaker confident and familiar with the article or topic? hesitation, pronunciation, other   </li></ul>	%) Presentatio	n Skills													
<ul> <li>Did the presentation engage your attention?</li> <li>Did the presenter talk directly to the audience?</li> <li>Was the speaker confident and familiar with the article or topic? hesitation, pronunciation, other    0</li></ul>	• Was the	e presenta	tion vis	ually cle	ar? legib	oility, cl	lutter,	consist	ent des	ign, vi	sual fl	ow			
<ul> <li>Did the presenter talk directly to the audience?</li> <li>Was the speaker confident and familiar with the article or topic? hesitation, pronunciation, other  0 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 1 4 4 stror</li> <li>Content</li> <li>Was the presentation insightful?</li> <li>Were appropriate examples used?</li> <li>Did you learn anything from the presentation?</li> <li>Was the audience confused by, or were there obvious errors in, the presentation?</li> <li>Did the audience benefit from the analysis of the article (or topic), or of its consequences?</li> <li>Do you understand something about the article (or topic) better because of the presentation?</li> <li>Do you understand something about the article (or topic) better because of the presentation?</li> <li>Was the organization balanced so there was enough explanation of difficult concepts?</li> <li>Was the organization balanced so there was enough time for important concepts?</li> <li>Were the ideas presented in an obviously logical (or coherent) sequence?</li> <li>Was there a clear introduction &amp; conclusion?</li> <li>Was there a clear introduction &amp; conclusion?</li> <li>O 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 1 4 4 5 tror</li> <li>Conciseness</li> <li>Was the talk too short (&lt; min.) or too long (&gt; min.)?</li> <li>Were the ideas expressed without rambling?</li> <li>O 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6</li></ul>	• Was the	e presenta	ition aud	dibly clea	ar? volu	me, pa	ce, enu	ınciati	on, emp	hasis					
<ul> <li>Did the presenter talk directly to the audience?</li> <li>Was the speaker confident and familiar with the article or topic? hesitation, pronunciation, other  0 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 1 4 4 stror</li> <li>Content</li> <li>Was the presentation insightful?</li> <li>Were appropriate examples used?</li> <li>Did you learn anything from the presentation?</li> <li>Was the audience confused by, or were there obvious errors in, the presentation?</li> <li>Did the audience benefit from the analysis of the article (or topic), or of its consequences?</li> <li>Do you understand something about the article (or topic) better because of the presentation?</li> <li>Do you understand something about the article (or topic) better because of the presentation?</li> <li>Was the organization balanced so there was enough explanation of difficult concepts?</li> <li>Was the organization balanced so there was enough time for important concepts?</li> <li>Were the ideas presented in an obviously logical (or coherent) sequence?</li> <li>Was there a clear introduction &amp; conclusion?</li> <li>Was there a clear introduction &amp; conclusion?</li> <li>O 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 1 4 4 5 tror</li> <li>Conciseness</li> <li>Was the talk too short (&lt; min.) or too long (&gt; min.)?</li> <li>Were the ideas expressed without rambling?</li> <li>O 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6</li></ul>		-		•					-						
<ul> <li>Was the speaker confident and familiar with the article or topic? hesitation, pronunciation, other    0</li></ul>		•	Ŭ				?								
o		-		•				ticle (	or topi	ic? he	sitatio	n, pro	nunciati	ion, otl	ner
<ul> <li>• Was the presentation insightful?</li> <li>• Were appropriate examples used?</li> <li>• Did you learn anything from the presentation?</li> <li>• Was the audience confused by, or were there obvious errors in, the presentation?</li> <li>• Did the audience benefit from the analysis of the article (or topic), or of its consequences?</li> <li>• Do you understand something about the article (or topic) better because of the presentation?</li> <li>• Do you understand something about the article (or topic) better because of the presentation?</li> <li>• Was the organization balanced so there was enough explanation of difficult concepts?</li> <li>• Was the organization balanced so there was enough time for important concepts?</li> <li>• Was the specific purpose of the presentation made clear?</li> <li>• Was there a clear introduction &amp; conclusion?</li> <li>• Was there a clear introduction &amp; conclusion?</li> <li>• Was the talk too short (&lt; min.) or too long (&gt; min.)?</li> <li>• Were the ideas expressed without rambling?</li> <li>• Were the ideas expressed without rambling?</li> <li>• Were the ideas expressed without rambling?</li> </ul>	<b></b>		1/2	1 ·	$1\frac{1}{2}$	٠	2		$2\frac{1}{2}$		3	•	$3\frac{1}{2}$		4 strong
<ul> <li>Were appropriate examples used?</li> <li>Did you learn anything from the presentation?</li> <li>Was the audience confused by, or were there obvious errors in, the presentation?</li> <li>Did the audience benefit from the analysis of the article (or topic), or of its consequences?</li> <li>Do you understand something about the article (or topic) better because of the presentation?</li> <li></li></ul>	%) Content														
<ul> <li>Were appropriate examples used?</li> <li>Did you learn anything from the presentation?</li> <li>Was the audience confused by, or were there obvious errors in, the presentation?</li> <li>Did the audience benefit from the analysis of the article (or topic), or of its consequences?</li> <li>Do you understand something about the article (or topic) better because of the presentation?</li> <li></li></ul>	• Was the	e presenta	ition ins	ightful?											
<ul> <li>Did you learn anything from the presentation?</li> <li>Was the audience confused by, or were there obvious errors in, the presentation?</li> <li>Did the audience benefit from the analysis of the article (or topic), or of its consequences?</li> <li>Do you understand something about the article (or topic) better because of the presentation?  <ul> <li>0</li></ul></li></ul>		-		_	?										
<ul> <li>• Was the audience confused by, or were there obvious errors in, the presentation?</li> <li>• Did the audience benefit from the analysis of the article (or topic), or of its consequences?</li> <li>• Do you understand something about the article (or topic) better because of the presentation?  <ul> <li>0</li></ul></li></ul>	_		_			tation	?								
<ul> <li>Did the audience benefit from the analysis of the article (or topic), or of its consequences?</li> <li>Do you understand something about the article (or topic) better because of the presentation?  0 1/2 1 1 1/2 2 2½ 3 3½ 4 stror</li> <li>6) Organization &amp; Structure  Was the organization balanced so there was enough explanation of difficult concepts?  Was the organization balanced so there was enough time for important concepts?  Were the ideas presented in an obviously logical (or coherent) sequence?</li> <li>Was the specific purpose of the presentation made clear?</li> <li>Was there a clear introduction &amp; conclusion?  Weak  O 1/2 1 1 1/2 2 2½ 3 3½ 4 stror</li> <li>O 1/2 1 1 1/2 2 2½ 3 3½ 4</li> <li>Were the ideas expressed without rambling?  Were the ideas expressed without rambling?  O 1/2 1 1 1/2 2 2 2½ 3 3 3½ 44</li> </ul>	• Was the	e audience	e confus	ed by, or	r were t	here o	obvio	us er	rors in	, the	prese	entat	ion?		
<ul> <li>Do you understand something about the article (or topic) better because of the presentation?  O</li></ul>				•							_			nces?	
<ul> <li>O · ½ · 1 · 1½ · 2 · 2½ · 3 · 3½ · 4 stror</li> <li>O Organization &amp; Structure</li> <li>Was the organization balanced so there was enough explanation of difficult concepts?</li> <li>Was the organization balanced so there was enough time for important concepts?</li> <li>Were the ideas presented in an obviously logical (or coherent) sequence?</li> <li>Was the specific purpose of the presentation made clear?</li> <li>Was there a clear introduction &amp; conclusion?</li> <li>✓ Weak</li> <li>O · ½ · 1 · 1½ · 2 · 2½ · 3 · 3½ · 4 stror</li> <li>O Conciseness</li> <li>Was the talk too short (&lt; min.) or too long (&gt; min.)?</li> <li>Were the ideas expressed without rambling?</li> <li>O · ½ · 1 · 1½ · 2 · 2½ · 3 · 3½ · 4</li> </ul>				•	-				_				-		1?
<ul> <li>Was the organization balanced so there was enough explanation of difficult concepts?</li> <li>Was the organization balanced so there was enough time for important concepts?</li> <li>Were the ideas presented in an obviously logical (or coherent) sequence?</li> <li>Was the specific purpose of the presentation made clear?</li> <li>Was there a clear introduction &amp; conclusion?  <ul> <li>0 · ½ · 1 · 1½ · 2 · 2½ · 3 · 3½ · 4</li> <li>weak</li> </ul> </li> <li>Conciseness <ul> <li>Was the talk too short (&lt; min.) or too long (&gt; min.)?</li> <li>Were the ideas expressed without rambling?</li> <li>0 · ½ · 1 · 1½ · 2 · 2½ · 3 · 3½ · 4</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	0			_				_				-	•		4
<ul> <li>Was the talk too short (&lt; min.) or too long (&gt; min.)?</li> <li>Were the ideas expressed without rambling?</li> <li>0 · ½ · 1 · 1½ · 2 · 2½ · 3 · 3½ · 4</li> </ul>	%) Organizatio		cture												strong
• Were the ideas expressed without rambling? $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<ul> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Were th</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> </ul>	on & Stru e organiza e organiza e ideas p e specific ere a clear	ntion bal ntion bal resented purpose	anced so I in an ole of the parction &	o there v bviously resenta conclus	was en y logi tion n sion?	noug cal (o nade	h tim r coh clear	e for interent)	mpor sequ	rtant ience	conce ?	epts?	ts?	
$0$ · $\frac{1}{2}$ · $1$ · $1\frac{1}{2}$ · $2$ · $2\frac{1}{2}$ · $3$ · $3\frac{1}{2}$ · $4$	<ul> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Were th</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> </ul>	on & Stru e organiza e organiza e ideas p e specific ere a clear	ntion bal ntion bal resented purpose	anced so I in an ole of the parction &	o there v bviously resenta conclus	was en y logi tion n sion?	noug cal (o nade	h tim r coh clear	e for interent)	mpor sequ	rtant ience	conce ?	epts?	ts?	4
	<ul> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Were th</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>weak</li> </ul>	on & Stru e organiza e organiza ne ideas pr e specific ere a clear	ntion bal ntion bal resented purpose introdu	anced so I in an ol of the p action & 1 ·	o there v bviously resenta conclus 1½	was en y logi tion r sion?	noug cal (o nade	h tim r coh clear	e for interest (in the second of the second	mpor sequ	rtant ience	conce ?	epts?	ts?	4
	<ul> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Were th</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Weak</li> </ul> O Conciseness <ul> <li>Was the</li> </ul>	e organiza e organiza e organiza ne ideas p e specific p ere a clear	ntion bal ntion bal resented purpose introdu 12 ·	anced so I in an ol of the p action & 1 ·	o there very bottom the theorem to the the theorem to the the theorem to the theorem to the theorem to the theorem to the the	was en y logi tion n tion?	noug cal (o nade	h tim r coh clear	e for interest (in the second of the second	mpor sequ	rtant ience	conce ?	epts?	ts?	4
	<ul> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Weak</li> </ul> 6) Conciseness <ul> <li>Was the</li> <li>Were the</li> </ul>	e organiza e organiza e ideas p e specific ere a clear	ntion bal resented purpose introdu short (<	anced so I in an ol of the p action & 1 · mir I withou	o there very bottom the transless of the	was en y logi tion n sion?	noug cal (o nade 2	h tim or coh clear	e for interent) ?  2½ in.)?	mpor sequ	rtant ience 3	conce	epts?	ts?	4 strong
	<ul> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Weak</li> </ul> O Conciseness <ul> <li>Was the</li> <li>Were the</li> </ul>	e organiza e organiza e ideas p e specific ere a clear	ntion bal resented purpose introdu short (<	anced so I in an ol of the p action & 1 · mir I withou	o there very bottom the transless of the	was en y logi tion n sion?	noug cal (o nade 2	h tim or coh clear	e for interent) ?  2½ in.)?	mpor sequ	rtant ience 3	conce	epts?	ts?	4 strong
	<ul> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Was the</li> <li>Weak</li> </ul> Oconciseness <ul> <li>Was the</li> <li>Were the</li> </ul>	e organiza e organiza e organiza e ideas p e specific ere a clear	ntion bal resented purpose introdu short (<	anced so I in an old of the paction & 1	o there very bottom the transless of the	was en y logi tion r sion? o long ing?	noug cal (o nade 2	h timer cohear	e for interent) ?  2\frac{1}{2}  in.)?	mpor sequ	rtant ience 3	conce	epts?	ts?	4 strong

## **Evaluation of Graduate Presentation (cont.)**

 ${\small \small \textbf{5} \quad \textbf{Organization/Structure}}\\$ 

**4** Content

① Presentation skills: Oral

2 Presentation skills: Visual

		0 11	Cociitati	OII SKIII.	s: Gene	aı		Engag	ement				9 0	illei				
equer	ıt issues	6																
	Use bot	h visual																
□ ③•	Do not r If you sl	ead the s	slides to	the audi	ence bu	t use the	spoken	compor	ent for s	omethii	ng more e doing	than, or	a little o	different	from, th	ie part tł	ney see.	
	It should	l <i>not</i> be 1	nerely a	table of	contents	s. You ar	e not rec	uired to	show o	utline sl	ides.							
	Do not to K						m		□ ②: □ ®:	Manua See the	lly set l syllabi	ine brea	aks to a	void uş	gliness vide wher	or confi	usion n online so	011
<b></b> .	11 y 10 K	eep nei	115 111 00	inet iist	5 10 011	mie	:	:		occ tric	Synabe	ab for det		inust prov	ide when	i citing an	:	
		<u> </u>	<u>.</u>				 :				: :			: :		: :	<u>.</u>	ļ.,
		<u>.</u>																
?		<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>			ļ											ļ.
: : :		<u>.</u>			:		:				<u>:</u>			:				
		<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>				<u>.</u>				: :							
		<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>		: : :						: : :			: : :				
		<u> </u>	ļ	ļ										: } :				
		<u> </u>			: ! !						: { }			: :				
:						:	: :											
:		<u> </u>																
		<u>:</u>	:		:		:				:			:				
			<u>.</u>		i		i				i i			i				
					:		3	;			{			}				
														: : :				
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		: !		:	:			:			: :		: : :		1
<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	:		:				<u>:</u> :			: :				: :

**7** Conciseness

**®** Incomplete citations

	×2	×5	×30/4	×9
0	0%	0%	0%	0%
$\frac{1}{4}$	0.5%	1.2%	1.9%	2.2%
$\frac{1}{2}$	1.0%	2.5%	3.8%	4.5%
<u>3</u>	1.5%	3.8%	5.6%	6.8%
1	2.0%	5.0%	7.5%	9.0%
$1\frac{1}{4}$	2.5%	6.2%	9.4%	11.2%
$1\frac{1}{2}$	3.0%	7.5%	11.2%	13.5%
$1\frac{3}{4}$	3.5%	8.8%	13.1%	15.8%
2	4.0%	10.0%	15.0%	18.0%
$2\frac{1}{4}$	4.5%	11.2%	16.9%	20.2%
$2\frac{1}{2}$	5.0%	12.5%	18.8%	22.5%
$2\frac{3}{4}$	5.5%	13.8%	20.6%	24.8%
3	6.0%	15.0%	22.5%	27.0%
$3\frac{1}{4}$	6.5%	16.2%	24.4%	29.2%
$3\frac{1}{2}$	7.0%	17.5%	26.2%	31.5%
$3\frac{3}{4}$	7.5%	18.8%	28.1%	33.8%
4	8.0%	20.0%	30.0%	36.0%

 $\geq 90\%$   $\mathcal{A}^+$  85-89%  $\mathcal{A}$   $3^{1/2} \approx 88\%$  80-84%  $\mathcal{A}^ 3^{1/4} \approx 81\%$  77-79%  $\mathcal{B}^+$  73-76%  $\mathcal{B}$  3 = 75% 70-72%  $\mathcal{B}^ 2^{3/4} \approx 69\%$  < 70%  $\mathcal{F}$